

Ordered tensor categories and representations of the Mackey Lie algebra of infinite matrices

Alexandru Chirvasitu, Ivan Penkov

To Jean-Louis Koszul on his 95th birthday

Abstract

We introduce (partially) ordered Grothendieck categories and apply results on their structure to the study of categories of representations of the Mackey Lie algebra of infinite matrices $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$. Here $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ is the Lie algebra of endomorphisms of a nondegenerate pairing of countably infinite-dimensional vector spaces $V_* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, where \mathbb{K} is the base field. Tensor representations of $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ are defined as arbitrary subquotients of finite direct sums of tensor products $(V^*)^{\otimes m} \otimes (V_*)^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes p}$ where V^* denotes the algebraic dual of V . The category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ which they comprise, extends a category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}$ previously studied in [5, 15, 20]. Our main result is that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ is a finite-length, Koszul self-dual, tensor category with a certain universal property that makes it into a “categorified algebra” defined by means of a handful of generators and relations. This result uses in an essential way the general properties of ordered Grothendieck categories, which yield also simpler proofs of certain facts about the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}$ established in [15]. Finally, we discuss the extension of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ obtained by adjoining the algebraic dual $(V_*)^*$ of V_* .

Key words: Mackey Lie algebra, tensor category, Koszulity

MSC 2010: 17B65, 17B10, 16T15

Contents

1	Background	3
2	Ordered Grothendieck categories	5
2.1	Definition and characterization of indecomposable injectives	5
2.2	Bounds on non-vanishing ext functors	7
2.3	\mathcal{C} as a comodule category	8
2.4	\mathcal{C} as a highest weight category	8
2.5	Universality properties of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_{FIN}	9
2.6	The monoidal case	10
2.7	An application: the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$	11
3	The three-diagram category	11
3.1	Simple objects	11
3.2	Indecomposable injectives	14
3.3	Blocks	17
3.4	Koszulity	17

3.5	Universality	21
3.6	Split subcategories and derived full faithfulness	25
3.7	Koszul self-duality	26
4	The four-diagram category	28
	References	31

Introduction

Despite the fact that matrix Lie algebras belong to the core of classical Lie theory, Lie algebras of infinite matrices present many challenges concerning their structure and representations. Our study is motivated by the desire to understand certain natural categories of tensor representations of an infinite-dimensional matrix Lie algebra. These categories are analogues of the category of finite-dimensional representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ (or more generally, of any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra) but are not semisimple.

A first example of such a category is $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the category of tensor modules over a finitary simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(\infty)$, $\mathfrak{o}(\infty)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(\infty)$. This category was introduced independently in [5] and [20] and has been further studied in [15] (see also [16]). Some of the essential attributes of the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are that its objects have finite length and that it is a nonrigid Koszul tensor category.

A more elaborate example is the category $\widetilde{\text{Tens}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for \mathfrak{g} as above, introduced in [14]. It contains both defining representations of \mathfrak{g} as well as their algebraic duals, but is no longer a finite-length category. Notably the objects of $\widetilde{\text{Tens}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ have finite Loewy length.

The paper [15] introduces a class of infinite-dimensional, non-locally finite matrix Lie algebras called *Mackey Lie algebras*. A first example is the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ of all endomorphisms of a countable-dimensional vector space V which preserve a fixed nondegenerate pairing $V_* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ (\mathbb{K} is the base field, $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$), V_* being also a countable-dimensional vector space. In matrix terms, $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ consists of infinite matrices each of whose rows and columns has at most finitely many nonzero entries. This Lie algebra has two obvious modules: V and V_* . In addition, interestingly, the algebraic dual V^* of V , although not simple over $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$, has finite length: the socle of V^* as a $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ -module equals V_* , and the quotient V^*/V_* is a simple $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ -module. Moreover, as shown by the first author in [2], the tensor category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ generated by V , V_* and V^* is a finite-length category.

With the categories $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ in mind, we introduce in this paper a general notion of ordered Grothendieck category. These are Grothendieck categories for which the indecomposable injectives are parametrized by a partially ordered set with finite downward sequences; the precise definition is given in Section 2 below. Our main result regarding ordered Grothendieck categories is that they are equivalent to categories of comodules over semiperfect coalgebras, and that they are universal in a certain sense to be made precise below.

Applied to the Grothendieck closure of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(\infty)$, $\mathfrak{o}(\infty)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(\infty)$, this result simplifies proofs of some main results in [5]. It is also crucial in our study of the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$: we give an explicit parametrization of the simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ via triples of Young diagrams, characterize the indecomposable injectives explicitly, find the blocks of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$, and, last but not least, prove the Koszulity of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$. Moreover, we show that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ is Koszul self-dual. We also apply our general universality result to show that the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ is universal in a much stronger sense than a general ordered Grothendieck category.

Finally, we take a few first steps in studying the abelian tensor category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$ generated by V , V_* , V^* and $(V_*)^*$. We prove that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^4$ is a finite-length category and its simple objects are parametrized by quadruples of Young diagrams. However, the Grothendieck closure of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V, V_*)}^4$ is not an ordered Grothendieck category, and therefore we leave a more detailed study of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V, V_*)}^4$ (e.g. injectives in its Grothendieck closure) to the future.

The formalism of ordered tensor categories, as introduced in this paper, will very likely be applicable to categories of tensor representations of diagonal infinite-dimensional Lie algebras such as $\mathfrak{gl}(2^\infty)$.

In addition to the intrinsic interest of the formalism of ordered tensor categories, we believe the investigations conducted in this paper fit into an algebraic framework for studying topics that are typically the domain of functional analysis. Indeed, V_* can be regarded as a topological dual to V for a certain topology on the latter (the Mackey topology determined by the pairing $V_* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$). In this sense, we are examining the interaction between duals associated to different topologies (i.e. V_* and V^*); this is one of the main themes in the study of locally convex topological vector spaces.

Acknowledgements

We thank Vera Serganova for sharp comments on the topic of this paper, as well as the anonymous referee for a very careful reading and illuminating remarks on an initial draft.

A. C. was partially funded through NSF grant DMS-1565226. I. P. acknowledges continued partial support by the DFG through the Priority Program “Representation Theory” and through grant PE 980/6-1.

1 Background

All algebras, Lie algebras, coalgebras, etc. are over a field \mathbb{K} fixed throughout. Except in Section 2, the characteristic of \mathbb{K} is assumed to equal 0. The superscript $*$ indicates dual space, i.e., $V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, \mathbb{K})$ for a vector space V . The sign \otimes means $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$, except in Section 2 where it denotes the tensor product in an abstract tensor category.

Let $p : V_* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a fixed nondegenerate pairing (\mathbb{K} -bilinear form) of countably infinite-dimensional vector spaces V, V_* over \mathbb{K} . G. Mackey studied in his dissertation [13] arbitrary nondegenerate pairings of infinite-dimensional spaces, and proved that if both V and V_* are countable dimensional, such a pairing is unique up to isomorphism. In particular, there is a basis $\{v_\alpha\}$ of V such that $V_* = \text{span}\{v_\alpha^*\}$, where v_α^* is the dual system of vectors defined by $p : p(v_\alpha^* \otimes v_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

The tensor product $V \otimes V_*$ has a natural associative algebra structure defined by

$$(v_* \otimes v)(v'_* \otimes v') = p(v'_* \otimes v)v_* \otimes v'.$$

The associated Lie algebra is denoted by $\mathfrak{gl}(V, V_*)$. We also set $\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*) := \ker p$.

As pointed out in the introduction, the Mackey Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ attached to the pairing p is the Lie algebra of endomorphisms of p , i.e., the Lie subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$

$$\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*) = \{\varphi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V) \mid \varphi^*(V_*) \subseteq V_*\},$$

where $\varphi^* : V^* \rightarrow V^*$ is the endomorphism dual to φ . Clearly, $\mathfrak{gl}(V, V_*)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$. In a basis $\{v_\alpha\}$ as above, $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ consists of infinite matrices each of whose rows and columns has at most finitely many nonzero entries. More general Mackey Lie algebras have been introduced in [15].

Next, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}$ is the full tensor subcategory of $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)\text{-Mod}$ whose objects are arbitrary subquotients of finite direct sums of \mathfrak{gl}^M -modules of the form $(V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$. A main result of [15] claims that the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}$ is naturally equivalent to the similarly defined category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$.

The category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$ has been introduced and studied in [5] (and independently also in [20]). The main features of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$ are that it is a finite-length Koszul self-dual category. More precisely, it is shown in [5] that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$ is antiequivalent to a category of finite-dimensional modules over an associative algebra $A_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$ which is an inductive limit of finite-dimensional Koszul self-dual algebras. Indecomposable injectives in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$, i.e., injective hulls of simple modules, turn out to be precisely arbitrary indecomposable direct summands of the modules $(V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$.

Consider now the Lie algebra $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$ for an arbitrary vector space V . This Lie algebra also has a natural tensor category of representations $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}$ consisting of all subquotients of finite direct sums of the form $(V^*)^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$, and as proved in [15], the tensor category $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}$ is naturally equivalent to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$. The equivalence functor $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$ maps V to V and V^* to V_* . However, since $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$, it is interesting to ask whether the tensor category “generated” by the restrictions of modules in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}$ to $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ has good properties.

Studying the latter tensor category is a main topic in the present paper. The precise definition of the category is as follows: $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ is the full tensor subcategory of $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)\text{-Mod}$ whose objects are $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ -subquotients of finite direct sums of the form $(V^*)^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$. Some first results about the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ have been established in [2] by the first author; in particular, it is shown in [2] that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)}^3$ is a finite-length tensor category.

In what follows, unless the contrary is stated explicitly, we consider V , V_* , V^* , and $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ fixed; we write \mathfrak{gl}^M instead of $\mathfrak{gl}^M(V, V_*)$ for brevity.

In order to state some further results of [2], let us recall that, given any vector space Z and a Young diagram λ (or, equivalently, a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0)$), any filling of λ turning λ into a Young tableau $\bar{\lambda}$ defines a subspace $Z_{\bar{\lambda}} \subset Z^{\otimes |\lambda|}$, for $|\lambda| = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j$. This subspace is the image of the Schur projection $Z^{\otimes |\lambda|} \rightarrow Z^{\otimes |\lambda|}$ determined by $\bar{\lambda}$. If Z is a representation of a Lie algebra, then any $Z_{\bar{\lambda}}$ is also a representation of the same Lie algebra, and $Z_{\bar{\lambda}}$ depends up to isomorphism only on λ and not on $\bar{\lambda}$.

Given two Young diagrams μ, ν , it is proved in [5] that the \mathfrak{gl}^M -module $(V_*)_{\mu} \otimes V_{\nu}$ is indecomposable (and injective in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}$) and has simple socle. We denote this socle by $V_{\mu, \nu}$. Moreover, the modules $V_{\mu, \nu}$ are pairwise nonisomorphic (for distinct pairs of Young diagrams) and exhaust (up to isomorphism) all simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}$.

In [2] the simplicity of V^*/V_* as a \mathfrak{gl}^M -module has been shown. This yields three obvious simple modules in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$: V , V_* , and V^*/V_* . A next important result of [2] is

Theorem 1.1 ([2]) *For a triple of Young diagrams λ, μ, ν , the \mathfrak{gl}^M -module*

$$V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} := (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu, \nu}$$

is simple.

In addition, the socle filtrations over \mathfrak{gl}^M of the simple objects in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}^2$ are computed in [2]. We will recall the result in Subsection 3.2.

We conclude this background section by a diagram of categories which helps to better understand our object of study. Let $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^1$ denote the finite-length tensor category with single generator V : its objects are finite direct sums of simples of the form V_{λ} for arbitrary Young diagrams λ . By $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$

we denote the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}$, and by $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}^2$ we denote the category $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}$ [15]. We then have the following natural diagram of inclusions and a restriction functor

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^1 & \subset & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2 & \subset & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3 & \subset & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4 \\ & & & & \uparrow r & & \\ & & & & \mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}^2 & & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$ is defined by adjoining the \mathfrak{gl}^M -module $(V_*)^*$ to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$, see Section 4. All categories (1) are finite-length tensor categories. The structure of the categories $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^1$, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$, and $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}^2$ is well understood, in particular, the latter two categories are canonically equivalent to the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$ studied in [5, 20]. In the present paper we investigate mainly the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$. For $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$, we only establish preparatory results: we prove that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$ is a finite-length category and we classify its simple objects.

2 Ordered Grothendieck categories

2.1 Definition and characterization of indecomposable injectives

We now define a class of Grothendieck categories which we study throughout Section 2.

Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{K} -linear Grothendieck category (for the notion of Grothendieck category we refer the reader to [18]). Unless specified otherwise, all additive categories are assumed \mathbb{K} -linear and all functors between \mathbb{K} -linear categories are understood to be \mathbb{K} -linear. The sign \subset denotes a monomorphism in \mathcal{C} , or a not necessarily strict set-theoretic inclusion. If Z is an object of \mathcal{C} , then $Z^{\oplus q}$ stands for the direct sum of q copies of Z .

Let $X_i \in \mathcal{C}$, $i \in I$ be objects indexed by a partially ordered set (I, \leq) with the property that every element dominates finitely many others; in other words, for every $i \in I$ the down-set

$$I_{\leq i} := \{j \in I \mid j \leq i\}$$

is finite. For each $i \in I$, we fix a finite set Θ_i of morphisms from X_i into various objects X_j for $j < i$. Denote

$$Y_i := \bigcap_{f \in \Theta_i} \ker f.$$

We further assume that

- (1) every object of \mathcal{C} is a sum of subquotients of finite direct sums of X_i ;
- (2) Y_i has finite length, and $Y_i = \text{soc } X_i$; write $Y_i = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_i} S^{\oplus p(S)}$, where \mathcal{S}_i is the set of isomorphism classes of distinct simple direct summands of Y_i , and $p(S)$ is the multiplicity of S in Y_i ;
- (3) the sets \mathcal{S}_i are disjoint;
- (4) X_i decomposes as $\bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_i} \tilde{S}^{\oplus p(S)}$ for modules \tilde{S} with socle S .

In the sequel we will sometimes refer to a simple object as belonging to \mathcal{S}_i ; by this we mean that its isomorphism class belongs to that set.

Definition 2.1 An *ordered Grothendieck category* is a category \mathcal{C} satisfying all above assumptions. \blacklozenge

Remark 2.2 Conditions (1) – (4), together with the down-finiteness of I , ensure that all X_i have finite length, and hence so do subquotients of finite direct sums of X_i . In particular, we can freely use the Jordan-Hölder Theorem for such objects. \blacklozenge

The definition of ordered Grothendieck category allows the following quick characterization of indecomposable injectives.

Proposition 2.3 *If \mathcal{C} is an ordered Grothendieck category then \tilde{S} is an injective hull of S in \mathcal{C} for any $i \in I$ and any simple $S \in \mathcal{S}_i$.*

It suffices to show that a subquotient J of a finite direct sum of X_i admitting an essential extension $S \subset J$ in fact embeds into \tilde{S} . The following lemma deals with a particular case of this situation.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $S \subset J$ be an essential extension for $S \in \mathcal{S}_i$ for a fixed i . If J is a subquotient of a direct sum $X_i^{\oplus q}$ for some q , then J is isomorphic to a subobject of \tilde{S} .*

Proof Subquotient means subobject of a quotient. So let $\pi : X_i^{\oplus q} \rightarrow Z$ be an epimorphism such that $J \subset Z$. We regard S as a subobject of $\text{soc } Z$ by means of the monomorphisms $S \subset J \subset Z$, and there is a decomposition $\text{soc } Z = T \oplus S$. Since the extension $S \subset J$ is essential, T intersects J trivially, and hence, after factoring out T , we can (and will) assume that Z has simple socle S .

Now, the socle $Y_i^{\oplus q}$ of $X_i^{\oplus q}$ can be decomposed as $U \oplus S$ in such a manner that $\pi|_{Y_i^{\oplus q}}$ is the projection on the second direct summand. By condition (4) above, this decomposition can be lifted to a decomposition of $X_i^{\oplus q}$ as $\tilde{U} \oplus \tilde{S}$. The socle U of \tilde{U} is already in the kernel of π , and all other simple constituents of \tilde{U} belong to the set $\bigcup_{j < i} \mathcal{S}_j$ which does not contain S . It follows that $\pi(\tilde{U})$ intersects S trivially, and hence also J . Consequently, J admits a monomorphism into $Z/\pi(\tilde{U})$. But $Z/\pi(\tilde{U})$ is a quotient of \tilde{S} , and it can only be isomorphic to \tilde{S} as the restriction of π to the simple socle S of \tilde{S} is a monomorphism. We are done. \blacksquare

Proof of Proposition 2.3 Let $\pi : X \rightarrow J$ be an epimorphism, for some subobject $X \subset \bigoplus_{j \in I} X_j^{\oplus q_j}$ of a finite direct sum. Any simple subobject T of X belonging to \mathcal{S}_j for $j \neq i$ will automatically lie in the kernel of π (by condition (3) above), so we may as well assume that X is a subobject of $X_i^{\oplus q_i}$. Then we apply Lemma 2.4. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.5 *Any simple object of \mathcal{C} belongs to \mathcal{S}_i for some $i \in I$.*

Proof Let $S \in \mathcal{C}$ be a simple object. Condition (1) in our definition of an ordered Grothendieck category ensures that S is a subquotient of an object X_i . If S is in the socle of X_i , we are done. Otherwise, consider a morphism of the form

$$f : X_i \rightarrow X := \bigoplus_j X_j^{p_j} \quad (2)$$

where each index j appearing in the (finite) sum on the right is smaller than i , and the kernel of f is the socle of X_i . Then f realizes S as a subquotient of X , and we can repeat the procedure with X_j (for some j in (2)) instead of X_i . The finiteness of the down-set $I_{\leq i}$ ensures that this process terminates. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.6 *The indecomposable injective objects in \mathcal{C} are isomorphic to arbitrary indecomposable direct summands of the objects X_i .*

2.2 Bounds on non-vanishing ext functors

For $i \leq j \in I$ define the *defect* $d(i, j)$ to be the number of links (i.e. symbols ' $<$ ') in a longest chain $i < \dots < j$. Note that, by convention, $d(i, j)$ is not defined unless $i \leq j$.

Remark 2.7 It follows easily from the definition that, for triples $i \leq j \leq k$, the function d satisfies the opposite of the triangle inequality, i.e. $d(i, k) \geq d(i, j) + d(j, k)$. \blacklozenge

Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_s$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}_t$ be simple objects in an ordered Grothendieck category \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 2.8 *If $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(S, T) \neq 0$ then $s \leq t$.*

Proof We proceed by induction on p , the base case $p = 0$ being immediate.

Suppose $p > 0$ and the statement holds for all smaller p . Let \tilde{T} be an injective hull of T . The long exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{p-1}(S, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{p-1}(S, \tilde{T}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{p-1}(S, \tilde{T}/T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(S, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(S, \tilde{T}) \rightarrow \dots$$

identifies $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(S, T)$ with $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{p-1}(S, \tilde{T}/T)$. Indeed, this is clear if $p > 1$ because then both the second and fifth terms in the sequence are zero. On the other hand, when $p = 1$ the leftmost arrow is an epimorphism because T is the socle of \tilde{T} , and hence the second leftmost arrow is zero.

The conclusion follows from the induction hypothesis and the fact that the quotient \tilde{T}/T has a filtration whose successive quotients belong to sets $\mathcal{S}_{t'}$ for $t' < t$. \blacksquare

We can now improve on this somewhat, leading to the main result of this subsection. Recall that $d(i, j)$ was only defined for $i \leq j$, so Lemma 2.8 is necessary for the statement below to make sense.

Proposition 2.9 *$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(S, T) \neq 0$ implies $d(s, t) \geq p$.*

Proof To prove the inequality for all p we can once more perform induction on p with the case $p = 0$ being obvious. Assume now that p is positive and that the induction hypothesis is in place.

The same long exact sequence that we used in the proof of Lemma 2.8 implies

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(S, T) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{p-1}(S, \tilde{T}/T).$$

This means that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{p-1}(S, T')$ is nonzero for some simple $T' \in \mathcal{S}_{t'}$, $t' < t$, and the induction hypothesis then ensures that $d(s, t) > d(s, t') \geq p - 1$. \blacksquare

We will later need the following variant of Proposition 2.9 for Ext^1 . Before we state it, a bit of terminology.

Definition 2.10 A morphism $f : X_i \rightarrow X_j$ in \mathcal{C} is *short* if $d(j, i) = 1$. \blacklozenge

Lemma 2.11 *Assume furthermore that all morphisms $f \in \Theta_i$ are short. In that case, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(S, T) \neq 0$ implies $d(s, t) = 1$.*

Proof We already know from Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 2.9 that $s \leq t$ and $d(s, t) \geq 1$. The fact that the strict inequality $d(s, t) > 1$ is impossible follows from the observation that all nontrivial extensions of a simple object by T are subobjects of the injective hull \tilde{T} of T , and by assumption the socle of \tilde{T}/T is a direct sum of simples $T' \in \mathcal{S}_{t'}$ with $t' < t$ and $d(t', t) = 1$. \blacksquare

2.3 \mathcal{C} as a comodule category

We are now ready to characterize ordered Grothendieck categories as certain categories of comodules. First, recall that an additive subcategory \mathcal{D} of an abelian category \mathcal{C} is *thick* (‘épaisse’ in [6, Section III.1]) if, given an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{C} , M is an object of \mathcal{D} if and only if M' and M'' are. Given an ordered Grothendieck category \mathcal{C} we denote by \mathcal{C}_{FIN} , the full, thick abelian subcategory of \mathcal{C} generated by X_i (i.e. the minimal such category containing X_i for $i \in I$).

Definition 2.12 A coalgebra C is *left semiperfect* if every indecomposable injective *right* C -comodule is finite dimensional. \blacklozenge

Remark 2.13 This is not quite the standard definition. By analogy with the dual notion for algebras, the requirement is that every finite-dimensional *left* C -comodule have a projective cover. However, [11, Theorem 10] ensures that the two conditions are equivalent. \blacklozenge

For a coalgebra C we denote by \mathcal{M}^C the category of right C -comodules, and by $\mathcal{M}_{\text{FIN}}^C$ the category of finite-dimensional right comodules.

Theorem 2.14 Suppose \mathcal{C} is an ordered Grothendieck category such that the endomorphism ring of any simple object is finite dimensional over \mathbb{K} . Then there is a \mathbb{K} -coalgebra C and an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^C$ of \mathbb{K} -linear categories.

Moreover, any such coalgebra C is left semiperfect, and any such equivalence identifies \mathcal{C}_{FIN} and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{FIN}}^C$.

Proof According to [22, Definitions 4.1 and 4.4, Theorem 5.1], in order to prove the first assertion it suffices to check that \mathcal{C} has a set of generators of finite length. This is immediate: simply take the set consisting of all subquotients of finite direct sums of X_i s.

The existence of a \mathbb{K} -linear equivalence of categories $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^C$ forces C to be left semiperfect, as the indecomposable injectives of \mathcal{C} are of finite length (Proposition 2.3) and hence correspond to finite-dimensional C -comodules.

We now prove the last assertion that any \mathbb{K} -linear equivalence $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^C$ automatically identifies \mathcal{C}_{FIN} and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{FIN}}^C$. Note first that \mathcal{C}_{FIN} consists of those objects that are subquotients of finite direct sums of X_i s. By Corollary 2.6, the indecomposable direct summands of the X_i s are (up to isomorphism) precisely the indecomposable injectives in \mathcal{C} . In general, comodule categories admit injective hulls, and an object is of finite length if and only if its injective hull is a finite direct sum of indecomposable injectives. It follows from this that the objects of \mathcal{C}_{FIN} are the finite-length objects in \mathcal{C} . In turn, for C -comodules, being of finite length is equivalent to being finite dimensional. \blacksquare

In the course of the proof of Theorem 2.14 we have obtained the following result in passing.

Corollary 2.15 The category \mathcal{C}_{FIN} consists of all objects of finite length in \mathcal{C} .

2.4 \mathcal{C} as a highest weight category

We show next that an ordered Grothendieck category is a highest weight category in the sense of [3, Definition 3.1]. First, recall the definition from loc. cit.

Definition 2.16 A \mathbb{K} -linear category \mathcal{C} is a *highest weight category* if it is locally Artinian and there exists an interval-finite partially ordered set Λ such that

- (1) There exists a complete collection $\{S(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of simple objects in \mathcal{C} .
- (2) There is a collection $\{A(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of objects (referred to as *standard objects*) admitting embeddings $S(\lambda) \subset A(\lambda)$ such that all composition factors $S(\mu)$ of $A(\lambda)/S(\lambda)$ satisfy $\mu < \lambda$. Moreover, for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ both $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A(\lambda), A(\mu))$ and the multiplicity $[A(\lambda) : S(\mu)]$ are finite.
- (3) Each simple object $S(\lambda)$ has an injective hull $I(\lambda)$, and the latter has a good filtration $0 = F_0(\lambda) \subset F_1(\lambda) \subset \dots$. \blacklozenge

We will not recall the definition of good filtration here. We just mention that in a good filtration one requires that $F_1(\lambda) \cong A(\lambda)$ and that the other successive subquotients $F_{n+1}(\lambda)/F_n(\lambda)$ be isomorphic to various $A(\mu)$, $\mu > \lambda$.

Proposition 2.17 *An ordered Grothendieck category is a highest weight category.*

Proof Let \mathcal{C} be an ordered Grothendieck \mathbb{K} -linear category, and I, X_i, Y_i, S_i be as in Subsection 2.1. It is well known that comodule categories are locally Artinian, so \mathcal{C} is locally Artinian by Theorem 2.14.

Set $\Lambda := \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i$, and regard it as a partially ordered set by declaring $\lambda < \mu$ precisely when $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_i$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{S}_j$ with $i < j$. Because I has finite down-sets, (Λ, \leq) clearly has finite intervals. By definition, the poset Λ indexes the complete set $\bigcup \mathcal{S}_i$ of isomorphism classes of simple objects in \mathcal{C} , taking care of part (1) of Definition 2.16.

Now take $A(\lambda)$ to be the injective hull of the simple object $S(\lambda)$ (hence, according to Corollary 2.6, $A(\lambda)$ is a summand of X_i if $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_i$). The finiteness conditions in part (2) are satisfied because the objects X_i have finite length. The condition that $A(\lambda)/S(\lambda)$ admits a filtration with subquotients $S(\mu)$, $\mu < \lambda$ follows from the fact that $S(\lambda) \subset A(\lambda)$ is the kernel of a map of $A(\lambda)$ into a sum of $A(\mu)$ for $\mu < \lambda$. This latter fact is a direct corollary of Proposition 2.3 and the definition of Y_i .

Finally, the sought-after good filtration of the injective hull $I(\lambda) \supset S(\lambda)$ is as small as possible: $0 = F_0(\lambda) \subset A(\lambda) = I(\lambda)$. In other words, in our case the standard objects $A(\lambda)$ are injective. Because of this, the other properties required of good filtrations hold vacuously. \blacksquare

We end this brief subsection by noting that our main motivation for introducing the formalism of ordered Grothendieck categories was that it allows a relatively quick explicit characterization of the indecomposable injective objects in the category. In a general highest weight category the indecomposable injectives are not even required to have finite length, so ordered Grothendieck categories are a rather special class of highest weight categories.

2.5 Universality properties of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_{FIN}

We henceforth assume that all endomorphism rings of simple objects in \mathcal{C} are equal to \mathbb{K} . Together with our already standing assumptions, this ensures that the categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_{FIN} are universal in a certain sense which we will make precise shortly.

Denote by \mathcal{C}_X the smallest subcategory of \mathcal{C} containing the X_i as objects and the Θ_i as sets of morphisms, and closed under taking \mathbb{K} -linear combinations, compositions, finite direct sums and

direct summands of morphisms. This last condition means that if $f : P \rightarrow Q$ and $f' : P' \rightarrow Q'$ are morphisms in \mathcal{C} , and

$$f \oplus f' : P \oplus P' \rightarrow Q \oplus Q'$$

is a morphism of \mathcal{C}_X , then so are f and f' .

Theorem 2.18 *Let \mathcal{D} be an abelian category and $F : \mathcal{C}_X \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a \mathbb{K} -linear functor.*

- (a) *F extends to a left-exact functor $\mathcal{C}_{\text{FIN}} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$ uniquely up to natural isomorphism.*
- (b) *If in addition \mathcal{D} has arbitrary coproducts, F extends uniquely (up to natural isomorphism) to a left-exact, coproduct-preserving functor $\mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$.*

We first need the following observation.

Lemma 2.19 *The subcategory $\mathcal{C}_X \subset \mathcal{C}$ is full on the finite direct sums of indecomposable injectives in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof Let $\tilde{S}_i \subset X_i$ and $\tilde{S}_j \subset X_j$ be two indecomposable injectives for $i, j \in I$, and $f : \tilde{S}_i \rightarrow \tilde{S}_j$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C} . We will prove that f is a morphism in \mathcal{C}_X by induction on the defect $d(i, j)$, with the convention that if $d(i, j) = 0$ then this assumption is true vacuously.

If f is a monomorphism, then it is an isomorphism. Moreover, f is unique up to scaling by our assumption that the \mathcal{C} -endomorphism rings of simple objects equal \mathbb{K} , so f must indeed be a morphism in \mathcal{C}_X as defined above.

Now suppose f is not a monomorphism. Then f annihilates the socle S_i of \tilde{S}_i , and hence can be thought of as a morphism from the quotient \tilde{S}_i/S_i to \tilde{S}_j . By assumption, this quotient admits a monomorphism into a finite direct sum of \tilde{S}_k (with $k < i$ in I) via morphisms in Θ_i , and $f : \tilde{S}_i/S_i \rightarrow \tilde{S}_j$ extends to the direct sum of the \tilde{S}_k by the injectivity of \tilde{S}_j . Since all k are less than i , the conclusion follows from the induction hypothesis. \blacksquare

Remark 2.20 Note that the above proof shows that there are no nonzero morphisms $X_i \rightarrow X_j$ for $i < j$. \blacklozenge

Proof of Theorem 2.18 (a) By Lemma 2.19 we know that \mathcal{C}_X is the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{FIN} on the injective objects in \mathcal{C}_{FIN} . Since the left-bounded derived category $D^+(\mathcal{C}_{\text{FIN}})$ can be built out of complexes of injectives (see e.g. [7, Chapter III, §2]), F extends to a functor $DF : D^+(\mathcal{C}_{\text{FIN}}) \rightsquigarrow D^+(\mathcal{D})$ of triangulated categories.

Now consider the standard t-structures $(D^{\leq 0}, D^{\geq 0})$ for both $D = D^+(\mathcal{C}_{\text{FIN}})$ and $D = D^+(\mathcal{D})$. Since DF is clearly left t-exact in the sense that $DF(D^+(\mathcal{C}_{\text{FIN}})^{\geq 0}) \subset D^+(\mathcal{D})^{\geq 0}$, the functor restriction of $H^0(DF) : D^+(\mathcal{C}_{\text{FIN}}) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$ to the heart \mathcal{C}_{FIN} of the standard t-structure will be left exact (see e.g. [8, Proposition 8.1.15]). It is moreover easily seen to extend F .

(b) F extends uniquely in a coproduct-preserving fashion to the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} on arbitrary direct sums of indecomposable injectives. Since these are all of the injectives in \mathcal{C} (a consequence of the fact that \mathcal{C} is a comodule category by Theorem 2.14), the argument from part (a) then extends F uniquely as a left exact, coproduct-preserving functor into \mathcal{D} as desired. \blacksquare

2.6 The monoidal case

Recall that all of our additive categories are assumed \mathbb{K} -linear.

Definition 2.21 An additive category is *monoidal* if it has a monoidal structure such that all functors of the form $Y \otimes \bullet$ and $\bullet \otimes Y$ are exact.

An *additive tensor category* (or just tensor category for short) is an additive category that is monoidal in the above sense and for which in addition its monoidal structure is symmetric.

A *tensor functor* is a symmetric monoidal \mathbb{K} -linear functor between tensor categories. \blacklozenge

Now, in the setting of [Theorem 2.18](#), suppose \mathcal{C} is a tensor category. Suppose furthermore that the set $\{X_i\}$ and the linear span of $\bigcup_i \Theta_i$ are closed under tensor products. Then \mathcal{C}_{FIN} is a tensor category.

Finally, denote by $\mathcal{C}_{X,\otimes}$ the smallest tensor subcategory containing X_i , Θ_i , and closed under direct summands. Adapting the proof of [Theorem 2.18](#) in a routine fashion we get

Theorem 2.22 *Let \mathcal{D} be a tensor abelian category and $F : \mathcal{C}_{X,\otimes} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$ a \mathbb{K} -linear tensor functor.*

- (a) *F extends to a left exact tensor functor $\mathcal{C}_{\text{FIN}} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$ uniquely up to the obvious notion of tensor natural isomorphism.*
- (b) *If in addition \mathcal{D} has arbitrary coproducts, F extends uniquely to a left exact, coproduct-preserving tensor functor $\mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$.* \blacksquare

2.7 An application: the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$

We illustrate the usefulness of the preceding material with an application to the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ introduced in [Section 1](#). The setup for doing so is as follows.

We regard $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ as \mathcal{C}_{FIN} , where \mathcal{C} is the Grothendieck category consisting of objects that are (possibly infinite) sums of objects in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$. The poset I is the set of ordered pairs (m, n) of nonnegative integers, and the order on I is the smallest partial order such that $(m, n) \geq (m-1, n-1)$ for positive integers m and n . The object X_i corresponding to the element $i \in (m, n)$ in I is by definition $(V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$.

Next, for $i = (m, n)$, we define Θ_i to be the set of contractions

$$p_{r,s}^{m,n} : (V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow (V_*)^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes V^{\otimes(n-1)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_{r,s}^{m,n} (y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_m \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) \\ = p(y_r \otimes x_s) y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{r-1} \otimes y_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_m \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{s-1} \otimes x_{s+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that conditions (1) – (4) from the definition of an ordered Grothendieck category for this choice of X_i and Θ_i hold follows from results of [\[16\]](#). Note also that the contractions $p_{r,s}^{m,n}$ are short morphisms in the sense of [Definition 2.10](#).

With this in place, [Proposition 2.3](#) gives now an alternate proof for the injectivity of the objects $(V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$. Cf. [\[5, 20\]](#) for different approaches to injectivity.

3 The three-diagram category

3.1 Simple objects

We now begin our study of the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$, see [Section 1](#) for the definition. The aim of this subsection is to describe the simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$. We first show that the simple \mathfrak{g}^M -modules

$(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes V_{\mu,\nu}$ from [Theorem 1.1](#) are mutually nonisomorphic as (λ, μ, ν) ranges over all ordered triples of Young diagrams.

We start with the following variant of [\[2, Lemma 3\]](#). Before stating it, recall that a Lie subalgebra I of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} acts *densely* on a \mathfrak{g} -module W if for any finite set $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset W$ and any $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ there is some $g' \in I$ such that

$$g' \cdot w_i = g \cdot w_i \text{ for any } i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let \mathfrak{G} be a Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$ be an ideal. Let U and U' be $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{I}$ -modules, and W be a \mathfrak{G} -module on which \mathfrak{I} acts densely and irreducibly with $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{I}}(W) = \mathbb{K}$. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(U \otimes W, U' \otimes W) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(U, U').$$

Proof Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(U \otimes W, U' \otimes W)$. We will show that there is $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(U, U')$ such that $f = g \otimes \text{id}_W$.

Fix $u \otimes w \in U \otimes W$ and let

$$f(u \otimes w) = \sum_{i=1}^n u'_i \otimes w_i, \quad u'_i \in U', \quad w_i \in W.$$

As f is a homomorphism of \mathfrak{I} -modules and \mathfrak{I} annihilates U , f maps $u \otimes W \cong W$ to the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n u'_i \otimes W \cong W^{\oplus n}.$$

Furthermore, f composed with the projection to a fixed direct summand of $W^{\oplus n}$ is an endomorphism of W sending $u \otimes w \in u \otimes W \cong W$ to $u'_i \otimes w_i$. But since $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{I}}(W) = \mathbb{K}$, each w_i must be a scalar multiple $t_i w$ of w . This shows that

$$g : u \mapsto \sum t_i u'_i$$

is a well-defined linear map $U \rightarrow U'$ with $f = g \otimes \text{id}_W$.

It remains to show that g is a \mathfrak{G} -module homomorphism; we do this by following the part of the proof of [\[2, Lemma 3\]](#) that uses the density condition.

Let $h \in \mathfrak{G}$ be an arbitrary element, and let $k \in I$ be such that $h \cdot w = k \cdot w$ (this is possible by density). We have

$$(h - k) \cdot (u \otimes w) = h \cdot u \otimes w + u \otimes h \cdot w - u \otimes k \cdot w = h \cdot u \otimes w$$

because $k \cdot u = 0$. This implies

$$g(h \cdot u) \otimes w = f((h - k) \cdot (u \otimes w)) = (h - k) \cdot f(u \otimes w) = (h - k) \cdot (g(u) \otimes w) = h \cdot g(u) \otimes w.$$

Since w is arbitrary, we get the desired conclusion $h \cdot g(u) = g(h \cdot u)$ for all $u \in U$, $h \in \mathfrak{G}$, i.e., g is a \mathfrak{G} -module homomorphism. ■

We also need the following complement to [\[2, Proposition 1\]](#); its proof is based on some of the same ideas as in loc. cit.

Proposition 3.2 *The endomorphism algebra of the \mathfrak{g}^M -module $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m}$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{K}[S_m]$ of the symmetric group S_m .*

Proof The infinite dimensionality of V^*/V_* makes it clear that the different permutations from S_m are linearly independent as endomorphisms of $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m}$, so $\mathbb{K}[S_m]$ is a subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}^M}((V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m})$. It remains to show that this inclusion is an equality. Clearly, it suffices to prove that for diagrams λ and λ' we have

$$\text{Hom}((V^*/V_*)_{\lambda}, (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \lambda',$$

and in that case all morphisms are scalars.

Suppose now we have a nonzero morphism

$$f : (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda} \rightarrow (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'},$$

which must necessarily be an embedding because its domain is simple. Fix a finite-dimensional subspace $X \subset V^*/V_*$ large enough to contain the tensorands of some non-zero vector

$$x \in c_{\lambda}((V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m}) \cong (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda}$$

(where $c_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{K}[S_m]$ is the Young symmetrizer attached to the diagram λ), as well as those of

$$f(x) \in c_{\lambda'}((V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m}) \cong (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'}.$$

There is an obvious embedding $\mathfrak{gl}(X) \subset \mathfrak{g}^M$, and f induces a homomorphism of the $\mathfrak{gl}(X)$ -module X_{λ} onto some $\mathfrak{gl}(X)$ -module intersecting $X_{\lambda'}$ nontrivially. Since both X_{λ} and $X_{\lambda'}$ are simple over $\mathfrak{gl}(X)$, if $\dim X$ is sufficiently large, we must have $\lambda = \lambda'$ and $f|_{X_{\lambda}}$ must equal a scalar by Schur-Weyl duality for $\mathfrak{gl}(X)$.

The desired conclusion follows by noting that V^*/V_* can be exhausted with subspaces X as above, and hence the scalars obtained in this manner must be compatible: f is a scalar on the entirety of

$$(V^*/V_*)_{\lambda} = \bigcup_X X_{\lambda},$$

as desired. ■

As a consequence, we get

Corollary 3.3 *The simple \mathfrak{g}^M -modules $(V^*/V_*)_{\lambda}$ are mutually nonisomorphic as λ ranges over all Young diagrams.*

Proof Suppose there is an isomorphism $(V^*/V_*)_{\lambda} \cong (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'}$ for Young diagrams λ and λ' . The two modules are direct summands of $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes |\lambda|}$ and $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes |\lambda'|}$ respectively. Since the identity matrix (which belongs to \mathfrak{g}^M) acts on $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m}$ as multiplication by m , the Young diagrams λ and λ' must have an equal number of boxes. Then the isomorphism

$$(V^*/V_*)_{\lambda} \cong (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'}$$

can be extended to an endomorphism of $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m}$ for $m = |\lambda| = |\lambda'|$ that does not belong to $\mathbb{K}[S_m]$, contradicting Proposition 3.2. ■

Corollary 3.4 *For all ordered triples (λ, μ, ν) , the simple \mathfrak{g}^M -modules $(V^*/V_*)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu, \nu}$ are mutually nonisomorphic.*

Proof Let $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes V_{\mu,\nu}$ and $(V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'} \otimes V_{\mu',\nu'}$ be two isomorphic simple modules. Note that V^*/V_* is a trivial $\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)$ -module. Hence, after restricting to $\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*) \subset \mathfrak{g}^M$, the two modules become isomorphic to direct sums of copies of $V_{\mu,\nu}$ and $V_{\mu',\nu'}$ respectively. This implies $(\mu, \nu) = (\mu', \nu')$.

Next, apply Lemma 3.1 to $U = (V^*/V_*)_\lambda$ and $U' = (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'}$ with $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)$. The hypotheses are easily seen to be satisfied, and the conclusion is that an isomorphism $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes V_{\mu,\nu} \cong (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'} \otimes V_{\mu',\nu'}$ must be of the form $g \otimes \text{id}$ for some isomorphism $g : (V^*/V_*)_\lambda \rightarrow (V^*/V_*)_{\lambda'}$. The equality $\lambda = \lambda'$ now follows from Corollary 3.3. \blacksquare

Finally, we have

Theorem 3.5 *The simple objects in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ are (up to isomorphism) the tensor products*

$$V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu} = (V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes V_{\mu,\nu},$$

and they are mutually nonisomorphic for different choices of ordered triples (λ, μ, ν) .

Proof We have already proved that the objects in the statement are mutually nonisomorphic. To prove that they exhaust the simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ it suffices to check that every object $(V^*)^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ has a finite filtration whose successive quotients are isomorphic to $V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ for various λ, μ and ν . To see this, notice that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_* \rightarrow V^* \rightarrow V^*/V_* \rightarrow 0$$

implies the existence of a finite filtration of $(V^*)^{\otimes m}$ with successive quotients of the form $(V_*)^{\otimes p} \otimes (V^*/V_*)^{\otimes q}$ for $p + q = m$. Consequently, the simple constituents of $(V^*)^{\otimes m}$ are of the form $V_{\lambda,\mu',\emptyset}$ for various λ, μ' . Furthermore, the tensor product $V_{\lambda,\mu',\emptyset} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ admits a filtration with successive quotients of the form $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes (V_*)_{\mu'} \otimes V_{\nu'}$ which in turn admits a filtration whose successive quotients are of the form $V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu} = (V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes V_{\mu,\nu}$ for various μ, ν . Since all filtrations we have mentioned are finite, we are done. \blacksquare

3.2 Indecomposable injectives

Corollary 2.6 provides a description of the indecomposable injective objects of the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$. In order to be able to apply it, we first introduce the Grothendieck closure $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$. By definition, $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ is the smallest thick, exact Grothendieck subcategory of $\mathfrak{gl}^M\text{-Mod}$ containing the tensor products of copies of V , V_* and V^* . The category $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ can be made into an ordered Grothendieck category, with X_i and Θ_i defined as follows.

The objects X_i are of the form $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes p}$, where the indexing set $I \ni i$ consists of ordered triples (m, n, p) of nonnegative integers. The morphisms in Θ_i are the various contractions

$$(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes p} \rightarrow (V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes V^{\otimes(p-1)} \quad (3)$$

and all maps

$$(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes p} \rightarrow (V^*/V_*)^{\otimes(m+1)} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes V^{\otimes p} \quad (4)$$

that send one V^* -tensorand onto one V^*/V_* -tensorand. Finally, in the partial order on I , a triple (m, n, p) is smaller than (m', n', p') if

$$m \geq m', \quad m + n \leq m' + n', \quad n \leq n', \quad p \leq p', \quad \text{and} \quad m + n - p = m' + n' - p' \quad (5)$$

(note that the first inequality is reversed). Clearly, this partial order on I satisfies the finiteness condition in Section 2 (every element dominates finitely many others). It is routine to check that conditions (1) – (4) from Section 2 hold in this case (Corollary 3.4 is needed for condition (3)). Moreover, we have the following observation.

Lemma 3.6 *The morphisms in the sets Θ_i described above are short in the sense of Definition 2.10.*

Proof For morphisms of the type displayed in (3) this amounts to showing that no element of I can be larger than $(m, n-1, p-1)$ and smaller than (m, n, p) . For those in (4), on the other hand, we must prove that no element of I is larger than $(m+1, n-1, p)$ and smaller than (m, n, p) . We will carry out the first of these two tasks, the other one being entirely analogous.

Suppose we have

$$(m, n-1, p-1) \leq (a, b, c) \leq (m, n, p) \quad (6)$$

in our partially ordered set I for some triple (a, b, c) of nonnegative integers. Then, first off, we must have $a = m$. Secondly, b equals either n or $n-1$. In the first case, the condition $a+b-c = m+n-p$ from (5) forces $c = p$. In the other case, it forces $c = p-1$. Either way, (a, b, c) must coincide with the leftmost or rightmost ordered triple in (6). ■

Proposition 3.7 *The inclusion functor $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3 \subset \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ identifies $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ with $\left(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3\right)_{\text{FIN}}$.*

Proof This follows immediately from the definition of \mathcal{C}_{FIN} for an ordered Grothendieck category \mathcal{C} : it is simply the full, thick abelian subcategory on the objects X_i . In the case of $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$, $X_i = (V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes p}$ for $i = (m, n, p)$, and the definition of $\left(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3\right)_{\text{FIN}}$ coincides with the definition of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ given in Section 1. ■

Now let SYM be the ring of symmetric functions on countably many variables (see e.g. [12], which will be our reference for symmetric functions). The ring SYM is well known to be a Hopf algebra (over \mathbb{Z}), and we will use its distinguished \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\lambda\}$ of Schur functions labeled by Young diagrams.

As in [2], denote by $\lambda \mapsto \lambda_{(1)} \otimes \lambda_{(2)}$ the comultiplication on SYM . The tensor $\lambda_{(1)} \otimes \lambda_{(2)}$ is not a decomposable tensor, but we suppress the summation symbol as is customary in the Hopf algebra literature (using so-called Sweedler notation, cf. [21]). Similarly, we write $\lambda \mapsto \lambda_{(1)} \otimes \lambda_{(2)} \otimes \lambda_{(3)}$ for $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta$, etc.

For a generic tensor $\sum_i \mu_i \otimes \nu_i$ in the tensor square $\text{SYM}^{\otimes 2}$ and nonnegative integers k, ℓ , let $\sum_i \mu_i^k \otimes \nu_i^\ell$ denote the sum of only those summands $\mu_i \otimes \nu_i$ for which μ_i and ν_i have k and ℓ boxes respectively.

We write

$$0 = \text{soc}^0 M \subset \text{soc} M = \text{soc}^1 M \subset \text{soc}^2 M \subset \dots$$

for the socle filtration of a finite-length object M , and denote the semisimple quotient $\text{soc}^i / \text{soc}^{i-1}$ by $\underline{\text{soc}}^i$.

Finally, let $W_{\mu, \nu}$ be the simple object in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}(V)}^2$ corresponding to the Young diagrams μ, ν . According to [2, Corollary 5], the socle filtration of $W_{\mu, \nu}$ over \mathfrak{gl}^M can be written as follows:

$$\underline{\text{soc}}^k(W_{\mu, \nu}) \cong (V^*/V_*)_{\mu_{(1)}^{k-1}} \otimes V_{\mu_{(2)}^{|\mu|-k+1}, \nu}.$$

The proof of [2, Corollary 5] can be adapted to obtain the socle filtration of $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes W_{\mu, \nu}$ in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$: it is obtained from that of $W_{\mu, \nu}$ by simply tensoring with $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda$. In conclusion, if

$$M = (V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes W_{\mu, \nu}$$

then the semisimple subquotient $\underline{\text{soc}}^k M$ is isomorphic to

$$(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes (V^*/V_*)_{\mu_{(1)}^{k-1}} \otimes V_{\mu_{(2)}^{|\mu|-k+1}, \nu}. \quad (7)$$

We end this section with a description of the indecomposable injective objects in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ and of their socle filtrations. This will be needed below, when we describe the higher ext-groups in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$.

Theorem 3.8 *The indecomposable injectives in the category $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ are*

$$I_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = (V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes (V^*)_\mu \otimes V_\nu,$$

with respective socles $V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = (V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes V_{\mu, \nu}$.

Moreover, the subquotient $\underline{\text{soc}}^k(I_{\lambda, \mu, \nu})$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{\ell+r=k-1} \left((V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes (V^*/V_*)_{\mu_{(1)}^\ell} \otimes V_{\mu_{(2)}^{|\mu|-k+1}, \nu_{(1)}^{|\nu|-r}} \right)^{\oplus \langle \mu_{(3)}, \nu_{(2)} \rangle},$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle : \text{SYM} \otimes \text{SYM} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the pairing making the basis $\{\lambda\}$ orthonormal.

Before going into the proof, let us rephrase the statement so as to make it more explicit. Denoting by $N_{\lambda, \mu}^\nu$ the usual Littlewood-Richardson coefficients (i.e. the multiplicity of ν in the product $\lambda\mu$ in SYM), we have

Theorem 3.8 bis *The indecomposable injectives in the category $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ are (up to isomorphism)*

$$I_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = (V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes (V^*)_\mu \otimes V_\nu,$$

with respective socles $V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = (V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes V_{\mu, \nu}$.

The subquotient $\underline{\text{soc}}^k(I_{\lambda, \mu, \nu})$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{\ell+r=k-1} \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=\ell} \bigoplus_{|\delta|=r} \bigoplus_{\zeta} N_{\lambda, \alpha}^\zeta N_{\alpha, \beta}^\mu N_{\gamma, \delta}^\beta N_{\phi, \delta}^\nu (V^*/V_*)_\zeta \otimes V_{\gamma, \phi}, \quad (8)$$

where repeated indices are summed over even if they do not appear under summation signs.

Proof Note first that once we prove the claims about the socle filtrations, the fact that the objects $I_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ are all (up to isomorphism) indecomposable injectives in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ follows as a direct application of Proposition 2.3 to $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ as explained at the beginning of Subsection 3.2.

So we are left having to prove the claimed description of the socle filtration of $I_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$. That the two formulations for it are equivalent is immediate from the definition of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients in terms of the multiplication on SYM and fact that the pairing $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ from Theorem 3.8 is a Hopf pairing, in the sense that

$$\langle \lambda, \nu_{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle \mu, \nu_{(2)} \rangle = \langle \lambda\mu, \nu \rangle$$

for all Young diagrams λ, μ and ν .

The fact that a Jordan-Hölder series for $I_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ has the subquotients displayed in (8) follows by splicing together the socle filtrations of the objects $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes W_{\mu, \nu}$ described above (see (7)) and the socle filtrations of the indecomposable injectives in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}(V)}^2$ obtained in [16, Theorem 2.3].

Note that for all simple objects in (8) (that actually appear with nonzero multiplicity) we have $|\gamma| = |\mu| - k$. That no such simple object can appear either later or earlier in the socle filtration (i.e. in $\underline{\text{soc}}^\ell$ for $\ell \neq k$) follows from the fact that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3}^1((V^*/V_*)_\zeta \otimes V_{\gamma, \phi}, (V^*/V_*)_{\zeta'} \otimes V_{\gamma', \phi'})$$

cannot be nonzero unless $|\gamma'| - |\gamma| = 1$ (see Theorem 3.11 below). ■

3.3 Blocks

We first make our terminology precise following e.g. [4, § 5.1]:

Definition 3.9 The *blocks* of an abelian category are the classes of the weakest equivalence relation on the set of indecomposable objects generated by requiring that two objects are equivalent if there are nonzero morphisms between them. \blacklozenge

In this short subsection we classify the blocks of the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$.

Theorem 3.10 *The blocks of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ are indexed by the integers, with the indecomposable injective object $I_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ being contained in the block of index $|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu|$.*

Proof It is clear from Theorems 3.5 and 3.8 that indecomposable injectives $I_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ and $I_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'}$ with

$$|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu| \neq |\lambda'| + |\mu'| - |\nu'|$$

are in different blocks, because they have no isomorphic simple subquotients.

It remains to show that all $I_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ that do share the same indexing integer $|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu|$ are in the same block. To see this, note first that $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda$ and V_λ^* are in the same block. Definition 3.9 then makes it clear that $I_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ is in the same block as some indecomposable direct summand of

$$(V^*)^{\otimes(|\lambda|+|\mu|)} \otimes V^{\otimes|\nu|}.$$

But the classification of blocks in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}$ ([5, Corollary 6.6]) implies that *all* such direct summands are in the same block as $V_{\mu',\nu'}$ whenever

$$|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu| = |\lambda'| + |\mu'| - |\nu'|$$

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

3.4 Koszulity

The goal of this section is to prove that the category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ is Koszul. We start with the following

Theorem 3.11 *If $V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ and $V_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'}$ are simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}, V_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'}) \neq 0$ implies $|\mu'| - |\mu| = q$.*

Before delving into the proof, let us record the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 3.12 *If $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^{q_0}(V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}, V_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'}) \neq 0$ for some $q_0 \neq 0$, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(V_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'}, V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}) = 0$ for all $q \geq 0$.* \blacksquare

The proof of Theorem 3.11 follows that of [5, Proposition 5.4], going through an analogue of Lemma 5.3 in loc. cit. In order to state this preliminary result, let us introduce some notation.

Given three Young diagrams λ , μ and ν , let $V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}^+$ denote the direct sum of the simples of the form $V_{\lambda,\mu',\nu}$ as μ' ranges over all Young diagrams differing from μ only in that they have one extra box in one of the rows.

Lemma 3.13 *For any simple object $V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}^+ \rightarrow V^* \otimes V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0,$$

where W is a direct sum of simples of the form $V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu'}$ with $|\nu'| = |\nu| - 1$ and $V_{\lambda',\mu,\nu}$ with $|\lambda'| = |\lambda| + 1$.

Proof The \mathfrak{g}^M -module $V^* \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ is an extension of $(V^*/V_*) \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ by $V_* \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$. By tensoring the exact sequence from [5, Lemma 5.3] with $(V^*/V_*)_{\lambda}$, we see that the \mathfrak{g}^M -module $V_* \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}^+ \rightarrow V_* \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} \rightarrow W' \rightarrow 0$$

where W' is a direct sum of simples of the form $V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu'}$ with $|\nu'| = |\nu| - 1$. Setting $W := (V_* \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}) / V_{\lambda}^+$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W' \rightarrow W \rightarrow (V^*/V_*) \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} \rightarrow 0. \quad (9)$$

The term $(V^*/V_*) \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ is a direct sum of simple modules $V_{\lambda', \mu, \nu'}$ with $|\lambda'| = |\lambda| + 1$. Finally, we can apply Lemmas 2.11 and 3.6 to deduce that there are no nontrivial extensions between any two simple constituents of W' and $(V^*/V_*) \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$, and hence (9) splits. This concludes the proof. ■

Example 3.14 We illustrate the content of Lemma 3.13 for a particular triple of diagrams. Let

$$\lambda = \mu = \nu = (1) = \square.$$

Then, the exact sequence from Lemma 3.13 has the form

$$0 \rightarrow V_{(1), (1), (1)}^+ \rightarrow V^* \otimes V_{(1), (1), (1)} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{(1), (1), (1)}^+ &= V_{(1), (2), (1)} \oplus V_{(1), (1, 1), (1)}, \\ W &= V_{(2), (1), (1)} \oplus V_{(1, 1), (1), (1)} \oplus 2V_{(1), (1), \emptyset} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(1, 1) = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad (2) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}. \quad \blacklozenge$$

We are now ready to give the

Proof of Theorem 3.11 We do induction on $|\mu'|$, the case $|\mu'| = 0$ being immediate from the injectivity of the simple modules of the form $V_{\lambda, \emptyset, \nu}$.

Let $|\mu'| \geq 1$ and assume that the result holds for smaller diagrams μ' . We can find β with $|\beta| = |\mu'| - 1$ such that $V_{\lambda', \mu', \nu'}$ is a direct summand of $V_{\lambda', \beta, \nu'}^+$. Lemma 3.13 then provides an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_{\lambda', \beta, \nu'}^+ \rightarrow V^* \otimes V_{\lambda', \beta, \nu'} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0,$$

and the hypothesis $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_3^{\mathfrak{gl}^M}}^q(V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}, V_{\lambda', \mu', \nu'}) \neq 0$ leads to one of two possibilities.

Case 1: $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_3^{\mathfrak{gl}^M}}^{q-1}(V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}, W) \neq 0$. In this case, the equality $|\mu'| - |\mu| = q$ follows from the induction hypothesis and the equality $|\mu'| - |\beta| = 1$ since Lemma 3.13 ensures that $|\mu| - |\beta| = q - 1$ and W is a direct sum of simples of the form $V_{\kappa, \beta, \delta}$.

Case 2: $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_3^{\mathfrak{gl}^M}}^q(V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}, V^* \otimes V_{\lambda', \beta, \nu'}) \neq 0$. Let

$$0 \rightarrow V_{\lambda', \beta, \nu'} \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

be a minimal injective resolution. Since $V^* \otimes I_*$ is an injective resolution of $V^* \otimes V_{\lambda', \beta, \nu'}$, we must have $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{T}_3^{\mathfrak{gl}^M}}(V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}, V^* \otimes I_q) \neq 0$.

The induction hypothesis ensures that the socle of I_q is a direct sum of simples of the form $V_{\alpha, \beta', \gamma}$ with $|\beta| - |\beta'| = q$, and hence the socle of $V^* \otimes I_q$ is a direct sum of simples of the form $V_{\alpha', \beta'', \gamma'}$ with $|\beta''| = |\beta| - q + 1 = |\mu'| - q$.

This finishes the proof. ■

What [Theorem 3.11](#) will eventually ensure is that the coalgebra C from [Subsection 2.3](#) is Koszul for a certain grading. We elaborate on this below.

Notation 3.15 For a coalgebra C let EC be the \mathbb{N} -graded algebra $\bigoplus_j \text{Ext}_C^j(S, T)$ for S and T ranging over all simple comodules of C with multiplication given by Yoneda composition. \blacklozenge

EC is analogous to the ext-algebra $\bigoplus_j \text{Ext}_A^j(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$ for a \mathbb{N} -graded algebra $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus A^{\geq 1}$, and it similarly controls Koszulity; see e.g. [\[10\]](#), where EC is denoted by $E(C)$. Recall that one possible characterization of a Koszul algebra is that its ext-algebra be generated in degree one (see e.g. [\[17, Definition 1 in § 2.1\]](#) and the accompanying discussion). Similarly, as a consequence of [Theorem 3.11](#) we get

Theorem 3.16 *For a coalgebra C as in [Theorem 2.14](#), the algebra EC is generated in degree one.*

Proof We have to show that for simple objects $S, T \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ the vector space $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(S, T)$ is generated by the images of the q -fold Yoneda compositions

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^1(T_1, T) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^1(T_2, T_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^1(T_{q-1}, T_{q-2}) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^1(S, T_{q-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(S, T)$$

for simple objects $T_1, \dots, T_{q-1} \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$.

We proceed by induction on q , the case $q = 1$ being clear. By [Theorem 3.11](#) we may as well assume that $T = V_{\lambda', \mu', \nu'}$ and $S = V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ with $|\mu'| - |\mu| = q$. Using the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{T}/T \rightarrow 0,$$

\tilde{T} being an injective hull of T , we get $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(S, T) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^{q-1}(S, \tilde{T}/T)$. Applying [Theorem 3.11](#) again, and using the fact that the socle T' of \tilde{T}/T is the sum of all simple constituents $R \cong V_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ of \tilde{T}/T satisfying $|\beta| = |\mu'| - 1$, we conclude that $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(S, T) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^{q-1}(S, T')$. Running through how this identification was made, it follows that $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(S, T)$ is spanned by the images of the Yoneda compositions

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^1(R, T) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^{q-1}(S, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(S, T)$$

for the various simple summands R of T' . This takes care of the induction step. \blacksquare

Dualizing one possible definition of Koszulity for algebras, we give

Definition 3.17 An \mathbb{N} -graded coalgebra C is *Koszul* if its degree-zero subcoalgebra is cosemisimple and the \mathbb{N} -graded algebra EC is generated in degree one. \blacklozenge

Remark 3.18 There are other definitions of Koszulity for coalgebras in the literature (see e.g. [\[19, §3.3\]](#)) and, just as for algebras, they can be shown to be equivalent. \blacklozenge

The conclusion of the preceding discussion is:

Corollary 3.19 *The category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional comodules over a Koszul coalgebra.*

Proof In Theorem 2.14 we obtained $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3 \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\text{FIN}}^C$ by applying [22, Theorem 5.1]. That result relies on constructing an appropriate coalgebra C as the “coendomorphism coalgebra” of an injective cogenerator of the Grothendieck category $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ (this is essentially the dual of the endomorphism ring in the category $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$; we refer to loc. cit. for details).

Choosing our injective cogenerator to be the tensor algebra $T((V^*/V_*) \oplus V^* \oplus V)$, we can put an \mathbb{N} -grading on C by setting C_n to be the space of degree- n coendomorphisms of T . With this grading, C satisfies the requirements of Definition 3.17. \blacksquare

The result analogous to Corollary 3.19 applies to the tensor subcategory $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$. It is perhaps worth comparing this comodule approach to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ to the description of the latter as a module category in [5, §5]. The techniques in loc. cit. can be applied to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ as follows.

Throughout the rest of this and the next subsections, End , Hom , etc. refer to hom-spaces in $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$. We set $T := T((V^*/V_*) \oplus V^* \oplus V) \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$, with $T^{\leq r}$ denoting its truncation in degrees $\leq r$. The associative algebra $\mathcal{A}^r = \text{End}(T^{\leq r})$ can then be realized as a direct summand of $\mathcal{A}^{r+1} = \text{End}(T^{\leq r+1})$, and we get a tower of nonunital inclusions of unital algebras. The union \mathcal{A} of this tower is a nonunital algebra.

Definition 3.20 A left \mathcal{A} -module is *locally unitary* if it is unitary over one of the subalgebras $\mathcal{A}^r \subset \mathcal{A}$. \blacklozenge

We then have the following analogue of [5, Corollary 5.2], with virtually the same proof.

Theorem 3.21 *The functor $\text{Hom}(\bullet, T)$ is an antiequivalence between $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ and the category of finite-dimensional locally unitary left \mathcal{A} -modules.* \blacksquare

The relationship between Theorem 3.21 and Corollary 3.19 can be clarified by tracing through Takeuchi’s constructions in [22, §5]. The coalgebra C in Corollary 3.19 is not unique, it is only unique up to Morita equivalence. One possible choice would be the inductive limit

$$\varinjlim_r \text{Hom}(T^{\leq r}, T)^*, \quad (10)$$

in which case the functor implementing the equivalence in Corollary 3.19 would be $\text{Hom}(\bullet, T)^*$.

In conclusion, C is a subcoalgebra of the graded dual of \mathcal{A} . More precisely, splitting each inclusion $\mathcal{A}^r \subset \mathcal{A}^{r+1}$ naturally as

$$\mathcal{A}^{r+1} = \mathcal{A}^r \oplus \mathcal{B}^r, \quad \mathcal{B}^r = \text{Hom}(T^{r+1}, T^{\leq r}) \oplus \text{Hom}(T^{\leq r}, T^{r+1}) \oplus \text{End}(T^{r+1})$$

the coalgebra C is expressible as

$$C = (\mathcal{A}^0)^* \oplus (\mathcal{B}^1)^* \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{B}^r)^* \oplus \cdots \quad (11)$$

(incidentally, the relationship between the coalgebra attached to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ and the algebra associated to the same category in [5, §5] can similarly be expressed as (11)).

The category of \mathcal{A} -modules in Theorem 3.21 is antiequivalent to $\mathcal{M}_{\text{FIN}}^C$ via the functor that sends a finite-dimensional vector space to its dual. Henceforth, whenever referring to the coalgebra C we specifically mean (10).

3.5 Universality

Now let \mathcal{D} be a \mathbb{K} -linear tensor category in the sense of Subsection 2.6 (in particular, symmetric monoidal), with $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ denoting its monoidal unit.

Denote by $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ the smallest tensor subcategory of $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ that contains V , V^* , V^*/V_* , the surjection $V^* \rightarrow V^*/V_*$, the evaluation $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, and is closed under taking direct summands. Then, Theorem 2.22 applied to $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ allows us to conclude

Proposition 3.22 *Let \mathcal{D} be a tensor abelian category and $F : \mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$ a \mathbb{K} -linear tensor functor.*

- (a) *F extends to a left exact tensor functor $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$ uniquely up to the obvious notion of natural tensor isomorphism.*
- (b) *If in addition \mathcal{D} has arbitrary coproducts, F extends uniquely to a left-exact, coproduct-preserving tensor functor $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$.* ■

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following strengthening of Proposition 3.22.

Theorem 3.23 *Let $b : Y^* \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ be a pairing in \mathcal{D} and $Y_* \subseteq Y^*$ a subobject.*

- (a) *There is a \mathbb{K} -linear left exact tensor functor $F : \mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$, unique up to unique natural tensor isomorphism, sending the pairing $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ to b and the inclusion $V_* \subset V^*$ to $Y_* \subset Y^*$.*
- (b) *If in addition \mathcal{D} has arbitrary coproducts, there is a coproduct-preserving functor $F : \mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$ as in part (a), unique in the same sense.*

We will need some preparation. For nonnegative integers m, n, p we denote by $I_{m,n,p}$ the injective object $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes p}$. If one of the integers m, n or p is negative, we set $I_{m,n,p} := 0$. Recall also the notation $I_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ from Subsection 3.3 for the indecomposable injectives in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$.

We have the following immediate consequence of the classification of simple and injective objects in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$.

Lemma 3.24 *For nonnegative integers m, n, p the algebra $\text{End}(I_{m,n,p})$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{K}[S_m \times S_n \times S_p]$.*

Proof There is an injective homomorphism $\mathbb{K}[S_m \times S_n \times S_p] \rightarrow \text{End}(I_{m,n,p})$ arising from permuting tensorands in $I_{m,n,p}$. On the other hand, the dimension of $\text{End}(I_{m,n,p})$ is easily seen to equal $\dim \mathbb{K}[S_m \times S_n \times S_p]$ by using the isomorphism $\text{End}(I_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}) = \mathbb{K}$ for any ordered triple (λ, μ, ν) . ■

Lemma 3.24 can be thought of as describing the degree-zero part of the Koszul coalgebra C from Corollary 3.19 (C is the sum over all choices of nonnegative m, n, p of the coalgebras dual to $\mathbb{K}[S_m \times S_n \times S_p]$). Next, we study those morphisms in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ that contribute to the degree-one component.

This degree-one component of C clearly contains the contractions

$$\phi_{i,j} : I_{m,n,p} \rightarrow I_{m,n-1,p-1}$$

pairing the i th V^* -tensorand with the j th V for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$, and also the surjections

$$\pi_{i,j} : I_{m,n,p} \rightarrow I_{m+1,n-1,p}$$

which first cyclically permute the first i V^* -tensorands so that the i th becomes first, then collapse the first V^* onto V^*/V_* , and then permute the last $m-j+1$ V^*/V_* -tensorands (for $1 \leq j \leq m+1$) so that the last one becomes j th.

We write $S_{m,n,p}$ for $S_m \times S_n \times S_p$ and $S_{n,p} = S_n \times S_p$ for brevity.

Lemma 3.25 *For nonnegative integers m, n, r we have the following descriptions of hom-spaces in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$.*

(a) $\text{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m,n-1,p-1})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}]$ as a bimodule over

$$\text{End}(I_{m,n-1,p-1}) \cong \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n-1,p-1}] \text{ and } \text{End}(I_{m,n,p}) \cong \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}],$$

where we regard $\phi_{i,j}$ as the generator of the right $S_{m,n,p}$ -module structure for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $S_{n-1,p-1}$ is the subgroup of $S_{n,p}$ fixing i and j .

(b) $\text{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m+1,n-1,p})$ is isomorphic to the induced representation

$$\mathbb{K}[S_{m+1,n,p}] \cong \text{Ind}_{S_m}^{S_{m+1}} \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}] = \mathbb{K}[S_{m+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[S_m]} \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}]$$

as a bimodule over

$$\text{End}(I_{m+1,n-1,p}) \cong \mathbb{K}[S_{m+1,n-1,p}] \text{ and } \text{End}(I_{m,n,p}) \cong \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}],$$

where we regard $\pi_{i,j}$ as a generator of $\mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}]$ as a the right $S_{m,n,p}$ -module, and $S_m \subset S_{m+1}$ and $S_{n-1} \subset S_n$ are the subgroups fixing j and i respectively.

Proof (a) Fixing i and j as in the statement, there is a morphism

$$\alpha : \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}] \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m,n-1,p-1})$$

of $(\mathbb{K}[S_{m,n-1,p-1}], \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}])$ -bimodules that sends $1 \in \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}]$ to $\phi_{i,j}$. The surjectivity of α follows from Lemma 2.19.

We are now left having to prove that α is injective, or in other words that the compositions $\phi_{i,j} \circ \sigma$ are linearly independent for $\sigma \in S_{m,n,p}$. Suppose some linear combination

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m,n,p}} a_{\sigma} (\phi_{i,j} \circ \sigma) \tag{12}$$

vanishes. Then split V as a direct sum $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_q$ of nontrivial subspaces for $q \geq n+p$ and fix i' and j' , $1 \leq i' \leq n$, $1 \leq j' \leq p$. To see that all a_{σ} must vanish, we apply (12) to decomposable tensors whose (i') th V^* -tensorand is v^* and whose (j') th V -tensorand v belongs to V_1 and satisfies $v^*(v) = 1$ while all other V^* and V -tensorands lie respectively in V_k^* , V_{ℓ} for distinct k and ℓ . Indeed, this shows that a_{σ} vanishes when $\sigma(i') = i$ and $\sigma(j') = j$. To complete the proof we apply the same argument to all possible choices of i' and j' .

(b) The proof is very similar to that of part (a). Once more, we can define a morphism

$$\beta : \mathbb{K}[S_{m+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[S_m]} \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}] \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m+1,n-1,p})$$

of $(\mathbb{K}[S_{m+1,n-1,p}], \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}])$ -bimodules sending $1 \in \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}] \subset \mathbb{K}[S_{m+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[S_m]} \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}]$ to $\pi_{i,j}$ for fixed i and j in the appropriate indexing sets.

We conclude that β is onto just as in part (a). As far as injectivity is concerned, again an argument analogous to that from (a) will do. ■

Lemma 3.25 is analogous to [5, Lemma 6.1 + part (1) of Lemma 6.2]. The following result, in turn, is our version of [5, part (2) of Lemma 6.2]; it follows immediately from Lemma 3.25.

Lemma 3.26 *The tensor products of hom-spaces from Lemma 3.25 can be described as follows.*

(a) *The space*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(I_{m,n-1,p-1}, I_{m,n-2,p-2}) \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(I_{m,n-1,p-1})} \mathrm{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m,n-1,p-1}) \quad (13)$$

is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}]$ as an $(S_{m,n-2,p-2}, S_{m,n,p})$ -bimodule.

(b) *The spaces*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(I_{m,n-1,p-1}, I_{m+1,n-2,p-1}) \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(I_{m,n-1,p-1})} \mathrm{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m,n-1,p-1}) \quad (14)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}(I_{m+1,n-1,p}, I_{m+1,n-2,p-1}) \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(I_{m+1,n-1,p})} \mathrm{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m+1,n-1,p}) \quad (15)$$

are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[S_{m+1,n,p}] \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{S_m}^{S_{m+1}} \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}]$ as $(S_{m+1,n-2,p-1}, S_{m,n,p})$ -bimodules.

(c) *The space*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(I_{m+1,n-1,p}, I_{m+2,n-2,p}) \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(I_{m+1,n-1,p})} \mathrm{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m+1,n-1,p}) \quad (16)$$

is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[S_{m+2,n,p}] \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{S_m}^{S_{m+2}} \mathbb{K}[S_{m,n,p}]$ as an $(S_{m+2,n-2,p}, S_{m,n,p})$ -bimodule. \blacksquare

We now analyze the quadratic part of the graded coalgebra C in Corollary 3.19. Dually, this means describing the kernels of the composition maps defined on the spaces in Lemma 3.26.

Lemma 3.27 *The composition maps defined on the spaces from Lemma 3.26 can be described as follows.*

(a) *The map*

$$(13) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m,n-2,p-2})$$

is onto, and its kernel is generated by

$$\phi_{n-1,p-1} \otimes \phi_{n,p} - (\phi_{n-1,p-1} \otimes \phi_{n,p}) \circ (n, n-1)(p, p-1)$$

as an $(S_{m,n-2,p-2}, S_{m,n,p})$ -bimodule, where $(n, n-1) \in S_n \subset S_{m,n,p}$ and $(p, p-1) \in S_p \subset S_{m,n,p}$.

(b) *The map*

$$(14) \oplus (15) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m+1,n-2,p-1})$$

is onto, and its kernel is generated by

$$\pi_{m+1,n-1} \otimes \phi_{n,p} - (\phi_{n-1,p} \otimes \pi_{m+1,n}) \circ (n, n-1)$$

as an $(S_{m+1,n-2,p-1}, S_{m,n,p})$ -bimodule, where $(n, n-1) \in S_n \subset S_{m,n,p}$.

(c) The map

$$(16) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m+2,n-2,p}) \quad (17)$$

is onto, and its kernel is generated by

$$(m+2, m+1) \circ (\pi_{m+2,n-1} \otimes \pi_{m+1,n}) - (\pi_{m+2,n-1} \otimes \pi_{m+1,n}) \circ (n, n-1) \quad (18)$$

as an $(S_{m+2,n-2,p}, S_{m,n,p})$ -bimodule, where $(m+2, m+1) \in S_{m+2} \subset S_{m+2,n-2,p}$ and $(n, n-1) \in S_n \subset S_{m,n,p}$.

Proof The surjectivity of the three maps follows, just as in the proof of Lemma 3.25, from the tensor analogue of Lemma 2.19. The other claims are very similar in nature, so proving part (c) will be sufficient for illustration purposes.

Identify the two tensorands of (16) with $\mathbb{K}[S_{m+2,n-1,p}]$ and $\mathbb{K}[S_{m+1,n,p}]$ as in part (b) of Lemma 3.25 by using as generators the maps $\pi_{m+2,n-1}$ and $\pi_{m+1,n}$ respectively. This then identifies the space (16) with $\mathbb{K}[S_{m+2,n,p}]$ as in part (d) of Lemma 3.26.

With this identification in hand, the element (18) is just

$$(m+2, m+1) - (n, n-1) \in (\mathbb{K}[S_{m+2}] \otimes \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{K}) + (\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{K}[S_n] \otimes \mathbb{K}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[S_{m+2,n,p}].$$

The $(S_{m+2,n-2,p}, S_{m,n,p})$ -bimodule generated by it coincides with the right $S_{m+2,n,p}$ -module it generates; its dimension equals $\frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbb{K}[S_{m+2,n,p}] = \frac{1}{2}(m+2)!n!p!$. We are trying to show that this module equals the kernel of the onto composition map (17). It is certainly contained in this kernel, so it is enough to show that

$$\dim \text{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m+2,n-2,p}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(m+2)!n!p!.$$

This can be seen as follows. Consider a typical element

$$(x_1 \cdots x_m) \otimes (y_1 \cdots y_n) \otimes (z_1 \cdots z_p) \in (V^*/V_*)^{\otimes m} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes p}, \quad (19)$$

where we have suppressed some tensor product symbols for ease of reading. Assume that all z_t are linearly independent, and similarly all x_t are jointly linearly independent with the images of all y_t through $V^* \rightarrow V^*/V_*$.

An element of $S_{m+2,n,p}$ will now permute the z_i s, permute the y_i s, permute the x_i s, and then insert the classes in V^*/V_* of the first two y_i s (after having permuted the y_i s) among the x_i s at two spots. It is easy to see from our linear independence condition on the vectors that this leads to linearly independent vectors for different permutations and different points of insertion. There are $m!n!p!$ choices for the permutations, and $\binom{m+2}{2} = \frac{(m+2)(m+1)}{2}$ choices for the points of insertion. This gives the desired bound. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 3.23 In view of Proposition 3.22, it suffices to show that the data of a pairing $Y^* \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and a subobject $Y_* \subseteq Y^*$ can be extended to a tensor functor $F : \mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}$, unique up to symmetric monoidal natural isomorphism, such that

$$F(V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}) \cong Y^* \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \quad \text{and} \quad F(V_* \subset V^*) \cong Y_* \subseteq Y^*.$$

The uniqueness is a consequence of the fact that $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ is generated as a tensor category by V^*/V_* , V^* and V_* . As for the existence, there is an obvious candidate for the extension that we would like to show is well defined. In order to do this we need to check that all of the relations between morphisms in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ are satisfied in \mathcal{D} .

By Koszulity (Corollary 3.19), it is enough to check this for quadratic relations. The conclusion now follows from Lemma 3.27 which shows that the only relations are those that hold in any tensor category. \blacksquare

3.6 Split subcategories and derived full faithfulness

We provide here an application of the universality property from [Theorem 3.23](#).

Consider the full tensor subcategory $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2 \subset \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$. Since the embedding functor $\iota : \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2 \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ is exact, it induces a functor $D\iota : D^+(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2) \rightsquigarrow D^+(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3)$ by applying ι straightforwardly to complexes.

Theorem 3.28 *The derived functor $D\iota$ is fully faithful.*

Remark 3.29 In other words, the maps $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2}^q(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}^q(\iota x, \iota y)$ induced by ι are isomorphisms. ◆

We postpone the proof briefly in order to make a few remarks.

By [Theorem 3.23](#) the inclusion functor ι is split by a unique left-exact tensor functor $R : \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ sending the pairing $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ to the pairing $p : V_* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and turning the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_* \rightarrow V^* \rightarrow V^*/V_* \rightarrow 0$$

into

$$0 \rightarrow V_* \rightarrow V_* \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, ‘split’ means that $R \circ \iota$ is naturally isomorphic as a tensor functor to the identity. In fact, we have

Lemma 3.30 *The functor R is right adjoint to ι .*

Proof The universality in [Theorem 3.23](#) ensures the existence of isomorphisms of the tensor functors $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow R \circ \iota$ and $\varepsilon : \iota \circ R \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3}$. While η is the isomorphism referred to above, $\varepsilon_V : V \rightarrow V$ is the identity and $\varepsilon_{V^*} : V_* \rightarrow V^*$ is the inclusion $V_* \subset V^*$.

The same universality result then shows that both

$$\iota \xrightarrow{\text{id} \circ \eta} \iota \circ R \circ \iota \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \circ \text{id}} \iota$$

and

$$R \xrightarrow{\eta \circ \text{id}} R \circ \iota \circ R \xrightarrow{\text{id} \circ \varepsilon} R$$

are identities. This exhibits η and ε as the unit and respectively counit of an adjunction, as claimed. ■

Remark 3.31 More concretely, R can be defined as the functor assigning to a module in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ its subspace consisting of those vectors invariant under some *finite-corank* Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}^M in the sense of [\[15, §7.3\]](#). The requisite properties (tensoriality, being right adjoint to ι , etc.) are then not difficult to check directly.

We thank the referee for this insightful remark. ◆

Remark 3.32 The splitting by R of the inclusion functor $\iota : \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ also realizes the algebra attached (via the canonical equivalence $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M} \simeq \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V, V_*)}$) to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ in [\[5, §5\]](#) as a direct summand of the algebra \mathcal{A} in Subsection 3.4 above. ◆

Proof of Theorem 3.28 We now know by Lemma 3.30 that ι is left adjoint to R . Since ι is also exact, $D\iota$ and $DR : D^+(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3) \rightsquigarrow D^+(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2)$ similarly constitute an adjunction (DR denoting the right derived functor to R).

The fact that $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2} \rightarrow R \circ \iota$ is an isomorphism ensures that the same is true for the unit $D\eta : \text{id}_{D^+(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2)} \rightarrow DR \circ D\iota$. In other words, the left adjoint $D\iota$ is fully faithful. ■

Incidentally, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ sits inside $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ as a split subcategory in another way: By Theorem 3.23 again we have a left exact symmetric monoidal functor $j : \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2 \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ sending $V_* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ to $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Similarly, there is a functor $S : \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ with $S \circ j \cong \text{id}$ and $S(V_*) = 0$ (unlike R above which annihilates the quotient V^*/V_*). In a similar vein to Lemma 3.30 we have

Lemma 3.33 *S is right adjoint to j .* ■

Moreover, the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.28 can be adapted to prove

Theorem 3.34 *The derived functor $Dj : D^+(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2) \rightsquigarrow D^+(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3)$ is fully faithful.* ■

Remark 3.35 Since $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ is roughly speaking obtained from its subcategory $j(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2)$ by adding a subobject $V_* \subset V^* \in j(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2)$, the embedding $j : \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2 \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$ is superficially similar to the restriction functor from the category of representations of a linear algebraic group to that of a parabolic subgroup. For a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ of a reductive algebraic group there is a similar derived full faithfulness phenomenon, whereby restriction from $\text{REP}(G)$ to $\text{REP}(P)$ induces isomorphisms between all Ext^i (see e.g. [9, Corollary II.4.7]). The significance of Theorem 3.34 is that it confirms this familiar phenomenon in our setting, thus strengthening the analogy. ♦

3.7 Koszul self-duality

One of the striking results discovered in [5] about the Koszul category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ generated by V and V_* is that it is *self-dual*, in the sense that the graded algebra that is the $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$ -analogue of \mathcal{A} from Theorem 3.21 above is isomorphic to the opposite of its quadratic dual. This result is then used in [5] in the computation of the exts between simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^2$.

We proceed in a similar way. Our first assertion is that we similarly have self-duality in the context of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$.

Theorem 3.36 *The Koszul coalgebra C defined by (10) is isomorphic to the opposite of its Koszul dual.*

Proof It suffices to prove the self-duality statement for the truncations \mathcal{A}^r of the algebra \mathcal{A} in the discussion at the end of Subsection 3.4. This will come as a consequence of Lemmas 3.24 to 3.27 applied to (m, n, p) with $m + n + p = r$. The proof is similar to that of [5, Lemma 6.4].

Following the discussion on quadratic duals in [1, §2.8], denote by S the semisimple degree-zero component of \mathcal{A}^r and by U the degree-one component. Moreover, $\mathcal{A} = \text{T}(U)/(R)$, where R is the space of quadratic relations, i.e. the direct sum of the spaces described in Lemma 3.27 for $m + n + p = r$, and $\text{T}(U)$ denotes the tensor algebra of U as an S -bimodule.

The quadratic dual $(\mathcal{A}^r)^\dagger$ is then $T(U^*)/(R^\perp)$, where $R^\perp \subset U^* \otimes U^*$ is the annihilator of $R \subset U \otimes U$. First, identify the direct summands $\mathbb{K}[S_{m',n',p'}] \subset U$ from Lemma 3.25 with their duals by making the elements of $S_{m',n',p'}$ self-dual. It is now easy to see that the map

$$d : S_{m',n',p'} = S_{m'} \times S_{n'} \times S_{p'} \rightarrow U \cong U^*, \sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \mapsto \text{sgn}(\sigma_2)\sigma \quad (20)$$

will intertwine the multiplication on \mathcal{A}^r and the opposite multiplication on $(\mathcal{A}^r)^\dagger$ provided the map d respects the relations. We once more argue this last point just in case (c) of Lemma 3.27, as the other two cases are analogous.

Following the proof of Lemma 3.27, identify $\text{Hom}(I_{m+1,n-1,p}, I_{m+2,n-2,p})$ and $\text{Hom}(I_{m,n,p}, I_{m+1,n-1,p})$ with $\mathbb{K}[S_{m+2,n-1,p}]$ and $\mathbb{K}[S_{m+1,n,p}]$ as in part (b) of Lemma 3.25, using $\pi_{m+2,n-1}$ and $\pi_{m+1,n}$ as generators respectively. The generator (18) of the relevant summand of R is then identified with $(m+2, m+1) - (n, n-1)$, whose annihilator in $U \cong U^*$ is generated by $(m+2, m+1) + (n, n-1)$. This concludes the argument. ■

As a consequence of this, we now have the dimensions of the higher extension groups between simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$. The following result is analogous to [5, Corollary 6.5] and [20, Proposition 3.3.3].

Corollary 3.37 *For any two simple objects in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$ and any $q \geq 0$ we have*

$$\dim \text{Ext}^q(V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}, V_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'}) = \text{multiplicity of } V_{\lambda,\mu^\perp,\nu} \text{ in } \underline{\text{soc}}^{q+1}(I_{\lambda',(\mu')^\perp,\nu'}), \quad (21)$$

where \perp denotes the transpose of a Young diagram.

Proof The left-hand side of (21) is a summand of the degree- q component EC_q of the algebra EC from Notation 3.15. On the other hand, [1, Theorem 2.10.1] claims that EC is the opposite of the Koszul dual of \mathcal{A} , which in turn is equal to \mathcal{A} by Theorem 3.36.

The proof can now proceed as in [5, Corollary 6.5], using the fact that the right hand side of (21) is the dimension of $p_{\lambda,\mu^\perp,\nu} \mathcal{A} p_{\lambda',(\mu')^\perp,\nu'}$ where $p_{\bullet,\bullet,\bullet}$ are the idempotents in \mathcal{A} corresponding to the respective indecomposable injectives in $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gl}^M}^3$, and the observation that the identification $(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)^{\text{opp}} \cong EC$ from the proof of Theorem 3.36 matches the idempotent of EC corresponding to $V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ to that of \mathcal{A} corresponding to $V_{\lambda,\mu^\perp,\nu}$ because of the sign in (20). ■

As a consequence of Theorem 3.8, we can rephrase the result as follows.

Corollary 3.37 bis *The dimension of $\text{Ext}^q(V_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}, V_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'})$ equals*

$$\sum_{\ell+r=q} \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sum_{|\delta|=r} N_{\lambda',\alpha}^\lambda N_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\mu')^\perp} N_{\mu^\perp,\delta}^\beta N_{\nu,\delta}^{\nu'},$$

where repeated indices are summed over even if they do not appear under summation signs. ■

We illustrate the contents of this subsection with an example.

Example 3.38 In Corollary 3.37, let $\lambda = (2) = \square\square$, $\mu = \emptyset$, $\nu = (1) = \square$, and

$$\lambda' = \mu' = \nu' = (1).$$

Then, since the injective hull $I_{\lambda',(\mu')^\perp,\nu'}$ equals $(V^*/V_*) \otimes V^* \otimes V$, Corollary 3.37 claims that

$$\text{Ext}^q(V_{(2),\emptyset,(1)}, V_{(1),(1),(1)}) = 0$$

for all $q \neq 1$, and that $\dim \text{Ext}^1(V_{(2),\emptyset,(1)}, V_{(1),(1),(1)}) = 1$. In other words, a nonzero vector in $\text{Ext}^1(V_{(2),\emptyset,(1)}, V_{(1),(1),(1)})$ arises from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_{(1),(1),(1)} \rightarrow I_{(1),(1),(1)} \rightarrow V_{(2),\emptyset,(1)} \oplus V_{(1,1),\emptyset,(1)} \oplus V_{(1),\emptyset,\emptyset} \rightarrow 0$$

where $(1,1) = \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$, and there are no higher extensions between $V_{(2),\emptyset,(1)} = S^2(V^*/V_*) \otimes V$ and $V_{(1),(1),(1)} = (V^*/V_*) \otimes (\ker p)$ ($p : V_* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is our original pairing).

Similarly, the nonzero vectors in $\text{Ext}^1(V_{(1,1),\emptyset,(1)}, V_{(1),(1),(1)})$ and $\text{Ext}^1(V_{(1),\emptyset,\emptyset}, V_{(1),(1),(1)})$ arise from the same exact sequence, and $\text{Ext}^q(V_{(1,1),\emptyset,(1)}, V_{(1),(1),(1)}) = \text{Ext}^q(V_{(1),\emptyset,\emptyset}, V_{(1),(1),(1)}) = 0$ for $q \neq 1$. \blacklozenge

4 The four-diagram category

It is interesting to study the full, thick, tensor category $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$ of \mathfrak{g}^M -modules obtained by adjoining $\bar{V} = (V_*)^*$ to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^3$. This category is not an ordered tensor category in the sense of Subsection 2.6. One way to see this is to show that the indecomposable injective objects in the Grothendieck closure of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$ have infinite length. We will possibly provide the proof of the latter fact elsewhere.

In this paper, we restrict ourselves to showing that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$ is a finite-length category and to describing its simple objects. We begin by proving the following

Proposition 4.1 *For any two Young diagrams λ and μ , the \mathfrak{g}^M -module $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes (\bar{V}/V)_\mu$ is simple.*

As before, we think of \mathfrak{g}^M as consisting of $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ matrices with finitely many nonzero entries in each row and column, and of V^*/V_* as the space of infinite row vectors modulo those with finitely many nonzero entries, the action of \mathfrak{g}^M on V^*/V_* being given by the formula $g \cdot v = -vg$ (the right-hand side being a product of matrices). In the same spirit, \bar{V}/V consists of $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ -indexed column vectors that are regarded as equivalent up to changing finitely many entries; the action of \mathfrak{g}^M on \bar{V}/V is usual left multiplication.

We need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 4.2 *For any choice of n -tuples $v_i, v'_i \in V^*/V_*$ and $w_i, w'_i \in \bar{V}/V$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, if the v_i and w_i are linearly independent then there is an element $g \in \mathfrak{g}^M$ such that*

$$g \cdot v_i = v'_i, \quad g \cdot w_i = w'_i, \quad \forall i.$$

Proof The fact that our matrices are infinite allows enough freedom to construct such a g by recursion. Throughout the proof we will conflate elements of V^*/V_* and representatives in V^* (and similarly for \bar{V}/V and \bar{V} respectively).

First, fix the first column of g so as to ensure that, for every i , the first entry of $g \cdot v_i$ equals the first entry of v'_i ; this is possible by the linear independence assumption. Now the first entry of the first row is fixed, but we can still fill in the first row so as to ensure that the first entries of $g \cdot w_i$ equal those of w'_i respectively.

Next, we repeat the procedure, completing the second column appropriately and then the second row, etc. At every step we are ensuring by construction that our rows and columns have finitely many non-zero entries, hence $g \in \mathfrak{g}^M$ as desired. \blacksquare

As in [2, § 2], for any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we say that an element of V^*/V_* or \bar{V}/V is *concentrated in A* , or is *A -concentrated*, if the indices of all but finitely many of its nonzero entries are in A .

Furthermore, we say that an element of some tensor power $(V^*/V_*)^{\otimes n}$ is concentrated in A if it is a sum of decomposable tensors whose individual tensorands are concentrated in A . This also applies to images of Schur functors like $(V^*/V_*)_\lambda$, which we think of as subspaces of a tensor power.

Finally, a matrix in \mathfrak{g}^M is concentrated in A if all of its nonzero entries are indexed by $A \times A$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1 Denote $M = V^*/V_*$, $N = \bar{V}/V$ and let $x \in M_\lambda \otimes N_\mu$ be a nonzero element.

Following the same strategy as in the proof of [2, Proposition 1], we can assume that x can be written as a sum of decomposable tensors $x_i \otimes y_i$ with $x_i \in M_\lambda$ and $y_i \in N_\mu$ concentrated respectively in two disjoint infinite subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

The Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}^M consisting of block-diagonal matrices with blocks concentrated in A and B is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}^M \oplus \mathfrak{g}^M$, and the two summands act separately on the A - and B -concentrated parts of M_λ and N_μ respectively. The simplicity of these latter modules over \mathfrak{g}^M ([2, Proposition 1]) implies that the submodule of $M_\lambda \otimes N_\mu$ generated by x contains all elements of the form $c_\lambda(m) \otimes c_\mu(n)$ where c_λ and c_μ are the Young symmetrizers corresponding to λ and μ respectively, and $m \in M^{\otimes|\lambda|}$ and $n \in N^{\otimes|\mu|}$ range over the A - and B -concentrated elements respectively.

If $m \otimes n \in M^{\otimes|\lambda|} \otimes N^{\otimes|\mu|}$ is such that the individual tensorands of m are linearly independent, we can apply Lemma 4.2 repeatedly to $m \otimes n$ to get any other $m' \otimes n$. Similarly, we can change the tensorand n at will.

Applying this to elements $c_\lambda(m) \otimes c_\mu(n)$ as above, which we know are contained in the submodule of $M_\lambda \otimes N_\mu$ generated by x , shows that indeed *all* of

$$M_\lambda \otimes N_\mu = c_\lambda(M^{\otimes|\lambda|}) \otimes c_\mu(N^{\otimes|\mu|})$$

is contained in this submodule. ■

As a consequence of [2, Lemma 3] this implies

Corollary 4.3 *For any four Young diagrams λ, μ, ν, η , the \mathfrak{gl}^M -module*

$$(V^*/V_*)_\lambda \otimes (\bar{V}/V)_\mu \otimes V_{\nu, \eta} \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$$

is simple. ■

Finally, as announced above, we show that we have found all of the simple objects and that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$ is a finite-length category.

Proposition 4.4 *All objects in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}^M}^4$ have finite length and the objects from Corollary 4.3 exhaust its simple objects.*

Proof This is immediate from Corollary 4.3 and the observation that all tensor products of copies of V, V_*, V^* and \bar{V} admit finite filtrations whose successive quotients are of the form described in Corollary 4.3. ■

References

- [1] Alexander Beilinson, Victor Ginzburg, and Wolfgang Soergel. Koszul duality patterns in representation theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(2):473–527, 1996.
- [2] Alexandru Chirvasitu. Three results on representations of mackey lie algebras. In Geoffrey Mason, Ivan Penkov, and Joseph A. Wolf, editors, *Developments and Retrospectives in Lie Theory*, volume 38 of *Developments in Mathematics*, pages 99–109. Springer International Publishing, 2014.
- [3] E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott. Finite-dimensional algebras and highest weight categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 391:85–99, 1988.
- [4] Jonathan Comes and Victor Ostrik. On blocks of Deligne’s category $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}(S_t)$. *Adv. Math.*, 226(2):1331–1377, 2011.
- [5] E. Dan-Cohen, I. Penkov, and V. Serganova. A Koszul category of representations of finitary Lie algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 289:250–278, 2016.
- [6] Pierre Gabriel. Des catégories abéliennes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 90:323–448, 1962.
- [7] Sergei I. Gelfand and Yuri I. Manin. *Methods of homological algebra*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2003.
- [8] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki. *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, volume 236 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.
- [9] Jens Carsten Jantzen. *Representations of algebraic groups*, volume 107 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2003.
- [10] P. Jara Martínez, J. López Peña, and D. Ştefan. Koszul pairs. Applications. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2010.
- [11] Bertrand I-peng Lin. Semiperfect coalgebras. *J. Algebra*, 49(2):357–373, 1977.
- [12] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
- [13] George W. Mackey. On infinite-dimensional linear spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 57:155–207, 1945.
- [14] Ivan Penkov and Vera Serganova. Categories of integrable $sl(\infty)$ -, $o(\infty)$ -, $sp(\infty)$ -modules. In *Representation theory and mathematical physics*, volume 557 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 335–357. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [15] Ivan Penkov and Vera Serganova. Tensor representations of Mackey Lie algebras and their dense subalgebras. In *Developments and retrospectives in Lie theory*, volume 38 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 291–330. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [16] Ivan Penkov and Konstantin Styrkas. Tensor representations of classical locally finite Lie algebras. In *Developments and trends in infinite-dimensional Lie theory*, volume 288 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 127–150. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2011.

- [17] Alexander Polishchuk and Leonid Positselski. *Quadratic algebras*, volume 37 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [18] N. Popescu. *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*. Academic Press, London-New York, 1973. London Mathematical Society Monographs, No. 3.
- [19] Leonid Positselski. Koszul property and Bogomolov’s conjecture. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (31):1901–1936, 2005.
- [20] Steven V. Sam and Andrew Snowden. Stability patterns in representation theory. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 3:e11, 108, 2015.
- [21] Moss E. Sweedler. *Hopf algebras*. Mathematics Lecture Note Series. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.
- [22] Mitsuhiro Takeuchi. Morita theorems for categories of comodules. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 24(3):629–644, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260-2900, USA
E-mail address: `achirvas@buffalo.edu`

JACOBS UNIVERSITY BREMEN, CAMPUS RING 1, 28759 BREMEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `i.penkov@jacobs-university.de`