

(last time): $S(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) : \underbrace{\|f\|_{\alpha_1 \beta}}_{\text{family of semi-norms}} := \|x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta f(x)\|_\infty < \infty \quad \forall \alpha_1 \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \right\}$

- $(f_n)_n$ converges in S : $\|f_n - f\|_{\alpha_1 \beta} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \forall \alpha_1 \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$
- (S, d_S) is a complete metric space

Lemma 2.11: Properties of the Fourier transform

(1) \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are continuous linear maps $S \rightarrow S$

(2) $\forall \alpha_1 \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $f \in S$: $((ik)^\alpha \partial_k^\beta \mathcal{F}f)(k) = (\mathcal{F} \partial_x^\alpha (-ix)^\beta f)(k)$,

in particular: $\widehat{(xf)}(k) = i(\widehat{\nabla_k f})(k)$ and $\widehat{(\nabla_x f)}(k) = ik \widehat{f}(k)$

Proof:

$$(2) \text{ recall } (\mathcal{F}f)(k) = \widehat{f}(k) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int f(x) e^{-ikx} dx$$

$$\text{with Lemma 2.2: } ((ik)^\alpha \partial_k^\beta \mathcal{F}f)(k) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int (ik)^\alpha \partial_k^\beta e^{-ikx} f(x) dx$$

Note: all integrals exist
since $f \in S$

$$\begin{aligned} &\xrightarrow{\quad} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int (ik)^\alpha (-ix)^\beta e^{-ikx} f(x) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int (\partial_x^\alpha e^{-ikx}) (-ix)^\beta f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

(α) -times integration by parts
(boundary terms vanish, since $f \in S$)

$$\xrightarrow{\quad} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-ikx} (\partial_x^\alpha (-ix)^\beta f(x)) dx$$

$$= \mathcal{F}(\partial_x^\alpha (-ix)^\beta f)(k)$$

(2) and triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad \|\hat{f}\|_{\alpha, \beta} &= \|k^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \hat{f}\|_\infty \stackrel{\downarrow}{\leq} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int |\partial_x^\alpha x^\beta f(x)| dx \\
 &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} |\partial_x^\alpha x^\beta f(x)| (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} dx \\
 &\leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} |\partial_x^\alpha x^\beta f(x)| \right) \underbrace{\int (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} dx}_{= \text{const.} \int_0^\infty (1+r^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} r^{d-1} dr} \\
 &\leq C \quad (\text{since integrand } \sim r^{-2d+d-1} \text{ for large } r) \\
 &\leq \tilde{C} \sum_{j=0}^m \sup_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=j} \|f\|_{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}} \quad \text{for some } m \in \mathbb{N}, \tilde{C} > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}f \in S$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in S implies $\mathcal{F}f_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}f$ in S ,

meaning $\mathcal{F}: S \rightarrow S$ is continuous (on metric spaces: continuity \Leftrightarrow def. of cont. in terms of sequences)

similar for \mathcal{F}^{-1}

Theorem 2.12:

$\mathcal{F}: S \rightarrow S$ is a continuous bijection with continuous inverse \mathcal{F}^{-1} .

Proof: HW: (1) show $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \text{id}$ only on C_c^∞ = smooth fcts with compact support

↪ consider $\text{supp } f \subset [-m, m]^d$

\Rightarrow Fourier series, write f as Riemann sum

(2) show that C_c^∞ is dense in S , then thm. follows from continuity

(use some smooth cutoff function)

Lemma 2.14: Plancherel on \mathcal{S}

For $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $\int \hat{f}(x)g(x)dx = \int f(x)\hat{g}(x)dx$, and, in particular,

$$\int |\hat{f}(k)|^2 dk = \int |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Proof: simple computation, HW.

back to $i\partial_t \Psi(t, x) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_x \Psi(t, x)$

formally we solve this by applying \mathcal{F} : $i\partial_t \hat{\Psi}(t, k) = -\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{F} \Delta_x \Psi)(t, k) = \frac{1}{2} k^2 \hat{\Psi}(t, k)$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\Psi}(t, k) = e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} \hat{\Psi}(0, k) \text{ unique global solution}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi(t, x) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1} e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} \mathcal{F} \Psi_0)(x), \quad \Psi_0(x) = \Psi(0, x) \text{ is the initial condition}$$

Theorem 2.16: Solution to free SE in \mathcal{S}

Let $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the unique global solution $\Psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to the

free SE with $\Psi(0, x) = \Psi_0(x)$ is, for $t \neq 0$,

$$\Psi(t, x) = (2\pi i t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\frac{i|x-y|^2}{2t}} \Psi_0(y) dy.$$

Furthermore, $\|\Psi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\Psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

Important note: What does $\Psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, S(\mathbb{R}^d))$ mean?

It means first that $\Psi(t, \cdot) \in S$, i.e., $\Psi(t, x)$ as a function of x is in S , but also the map $\Psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is ∞ -often differentiable.

Proof:

$$\text{The formula } \Psi(t, x) = \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} \mathcal{F} \Psi_0 \right)(x) = (2\pi i t)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i \frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} \Psi_0(y) dy$$

can be checked by direct computation (use Fourier transform of Gaussian).

Next: let us show that $t \mapsto \Psi(t, \cdot)$ is differentiable once, then

$\Psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S)$ follows by repeating the argument.

guess: derivative is $\dot{\Psi}(t, x) = -i \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{k^2}{2} e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} \mathcal{F} \Psi_0 \right)(x)$, which we know is in $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\text{To show: } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{\Psi(t+h) - \Psi(t)}{h} - \dot{\Psi}(t) \right\|_{\alpha, \beta} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$$

by continuity of \mathcal{F} (Lemma 2.11), this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{\Psi(t+h) - \Psi(t)}{h} - \dot{\Psi}(t) \right) \right\|_{\alpha, \beta}}_{=} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{\hat{\Psi}(t+h) - \hat{\Psi}(t)}{h} - \hat{\dot{\Psi}}(t) \right\|_{\alpha, \beta} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| k^\alpha \partial_k^\beta \left(\frac{e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}(t+h)} - e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t}}{h} + i \frac{k^2}{2} e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} \right) (\mathcal{F} \Psi_0)(k) \right| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{F} \Psi_0 \in S$ and $e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t}$ smooth. Proof of $\|\Psi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|\Psi_0\|_{L^2}$ next time. \square