

We continue our example from last time:

x_1	x_2	u	v	s_1	s_2	s_3	
1	1	-1	1	0	0	0	1
0	-3	0	0	1	0	0	3
0	-2	1	-1	0	1	0	3
0	1	1	-1	0	0	1	5
<hr/>				0	-1	-4	1

↑ here \bar{z} decreases the most

Question: What is the leaving variable?

Last time: pivot in R_4 (s_3 as leaving variable) did not work, since then s_2 became negative.

Why did this happen?

↳ At basic solution, with including u (as the entering variable), we have:

$$x_1 = 1 - (-1)u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{no bound on } u$$

$$s_1 = 3 - 0 \cdot u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{no bound on } u$$

$$s_2 = 3 - 1 \cdot u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{need } u \leq \frac{3}{1} \leftarrow$$

$$s_3 = 5 - 1 \cdot u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{need } u \leq \frac{5}{1} \rightarrow \text{so if we increase } u \text{ up to 5 we will}$$

violate this constraint

General rule: take the row with the least positive ratio of coefficient from right-most column to coefficient in new entry variable column.

⇒ Here, we need to take pivot in R_3 , i.e., s_2 as leaving variable

We compute:

	x_1	x_2	u	v	s_1	s_2	s_3	
$R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1:$	1	-1	0	0	0	1	0	4
	0	-3	0	0	1	0	0	3
	0	-2	1	-1	0	1	0	3
$R_4 - R_3 \rightarrow R_4:$	0	3	0	0	0	-1	1	2
$4R_3 + R_5 \rightarrow R_5:$	0	-9	0	0	0	4	0	13

$\Rightarrow x_1 = 4, u = 3, s_1 = 3, s_3 = 2,$
 $x_2 = 0, v = 0, s_2 = 0$
 and $\bar{z} = -13$

next entry variable: x_2
 new pivot (only positive entry in this column) \Rightarrow new leaving variable: s_3

(iii) Repeat: entry variable x_2 , leaving variable s_3

	x_1	x_2	u	v	s_1	s_2	s_3	
$\frac{1}{3}R_4 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1:$	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{14}{3}$
$R_4 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2:$	0	0	0	0	1	-1	1	5
$\frac{2}{3}R_4 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3:$	0	0	1	-1	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{13}{3}$
$\frac{1}{3}R_4 \rightarrow R_4:$	0	1	0	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$
$3R_4 + R_5 \rightarrow R_5:$	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	19

$\Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{14}{3}, x_2 = \frac{2}{3}, u = \frac{13}{3}, s_1 = 5$
 $v = 0, s_2 = 0, s_3 = 0, \text{ and}$
 $\bar{z} = -19$

both are non-negative
 \Rightarrow no further improvement possible

\Rightarrow We found an optimal basic solution.

Summary of rules:

- Entering variable column: choose the one with most negative entry in objective function row.
 If all entries are non-negative, solution has been found (terminate the algorithm).

- leaving variable: choose row with the least positive ratio of right-hand coefficient to coefficient in that column.

If not possible (if all coefficients in column are negative), we have found that the feasible region is unbounded and objective function can be made arbitrarily small.

Note: sometimes it is not easy to choose a feasible basic solution to start with; we will deal with that later.

Another example:

Maximize $\bar{z} = 2x_1 + x_2$ with constraints

$$\begin{aligned} -x_1 + x_2 &\leq 1 & \Rightarrow -x_1 + x_2 + s_1 &= 1 \\ x_1 - 2x_2 &\leq 2 & x_1 - 2x_2 + s_2 &= 2 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Simplex tableau:

new entry row
↓

x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	
-1	1	1	0	1
1	-2	0	1	2
-2	-1	0	0	0

(least positive ratio)
(actually the only positive ratio here)

$\Rightarrow s_2$ = leaving variable

both positive, so we can immediately see that a basic feasible solution is $x_1=0, x_2=0, s_1=1, s_2=2$ (with $\bar{z}=0$).

$R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_2$:

x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	
0	-1	1	1	3
1	-2	0	1	2
0	-5	0	2	4

$2R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3$:
 $\hookrightarrow x_2$ should be new entry variable
but none of these ratios are positive!

\Rightarrow We can increase x_2 as much as we like (no boundary constraint), i.e., we can make z more negative without bounds.

Graphically:

