

4. Mean-field Dynamics for Bosons4.1 Hartree Theory

coupling constant

We consider the Hamiltonian $H_n = \sum_{i=1}^N (-\Delta_j) + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^N v(x_i - x_j)$ and the

associated many-body Schrödinger equation $i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_n(t) = H_n \Psi_n(t)$, with $\Psi_n(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$

and $\Psi_n^t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \Psi_n^t(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \quad \forall \sigma \in S_n$ (S_n = symmetric group = all permutations of $1, \dots, N$).
↓
 Ψ_n symmetric (bosons)

The choice of $\frac{1}{N-1}$ as coupling constant is called mean-field limit. It describes weak interaction, and is a simple model of a Bose-Einstein-condensate (BEC).

Well-posedness:

With Kato-Rellich and HW11, we find that H_n is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(H_n) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ if $v: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ even, and $v = v_1 + v_2$ with $v_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $v_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (we write $v \in L^2 + L^\infty$).

In this section, we aim at studying the dynamics of initial data $\Psi_n^{t=0}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^N \varrho^{t=0}(x_i)$,
 for some $\varrho^{t=0} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Such initial data mean that all particles are iid distributed.

We hope to prove that also $\Psi_n^t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^N \varrho^t(x_i)$ for some $\varrho(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

What equation should hold for $\varphi(t)$?

- Idea: let X_i be an iid random variable with distribution $|q^t(x)|^2$.

Then $\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N v(X_i - y)$ should converge to $\int dx v(x-y) |q^t(x)|^2 = (v * |q^t|^2)(y)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ according to the law of large numbers.

- Thus we guess: $i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q(t, x) = -\Delta q(t, x) + (v * |q(t)|^2)(x) q(t, x)$
 $=: h^{q(t)}(q(t, x))$

This is called Hartree equation. It is a non-linear PDE, and one example of a non-linear SE (NLS). Thus, our previous well-posedness results for the linear SE do not apply. We come back to the question of well-posedness later.

- But note that formally:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|q(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{d}{dt} \langle q(t), q(t) \rangle = \langle \frac{d}{dt} q(t), q(t) \rangle + \langle q(t), \frac{d}{dt} q(t) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= i \langle (-\Delta q(t) + (v * |q(t)|^2) q(t)), q(t) \rangle - i \langle q(t), (-\Delta q(t) + (v * |q(t)|^2) q(t)) \rangle \\ &\text{assuming } (q(t)) \in H^2 \quad \nearrow \\ &\text{and } (v * |q(t)|^2) q(t) \in L^2 \quad = 0 \quad (\text{integration by parts and } v * |q(t)|^2 \in \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

so $\|q(t)\|_{L^2} = \|q(0)\|_{L^2}$ as for the linear SE

Next, let us look at expressions of the type $\langle \Psi_n, A_1 \Psi_n \rangle$ more closely, where $A \in \mathcal{S}_0(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and A_1 denotes the action of A on variable x_1 only. We call A_1 a one-body operator. We want to ask the question: Can we approximate $\langle \Psi_n(t), A_1 \Psi_n(t) \rangle$ by its BEC mean value $\langle \varphi(t), A \varphi(t) \rangle$? E.g., for $A = \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda} (1 \in \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\langle \Psi_n(t), A_1 \Psi_n(t) \rangle$ is the probability for finding particle one (or any one of the particles by symmetry) in the region $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Definition 4.7: For $\Psi_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$, we define the reduced one-particle density matrix

$$\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}(x, y) := \int dx_2 \dots dx_n \overline{\Psi_n(y, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \Psi_n(x, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

$$\text{E.g., } \mathcal{J}_{\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi}(x, y) = \int dx_2 \dots dx_n \overline{\varphi(y) \varphi(x_2) \dots \varphi(x_n)} \varphi(x) \varphi(x_2) \dots \varphi(x_n) = \overline{\varphi(y)} \varphi(x).$$

Definition 4.8: For any $K \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^6)$, we define the integral operator

$A: S(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $f(x) \mapsto (Af)(x) := \int dy K(x, y) f(y)$. We call K the integral kernel of A .

E.g., the identity has integral kernel $K_{\mathbb{1}}(x, y) = \delta(x-y)$ (since $\int dy \delta(x-y) f(y) = f(x)$).

Thus, we can define \mathcal{J}_{Ψ_n} as the operator with integral kernel $\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}(x, y)$.

Lemma 4.9: \mathcal{J}_{Ψ_n} has the following properties:

- (i) $\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} \in \mathcal{S}_0(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $\|\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}\|_\infty \leq 1$, $\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}^* = \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}$
- (ii) \mathcal{J}_{Ψ_n} is non-negative, i.e., $\langle x, \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

Proof of Lemma 4.9:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & \left| \int dy \chi_{\psi_n}(x, y) \chi(y) \right| = \left| \int dx_2 \dots dx_n \int dy \overline{\chi(y) \psi_n(y, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \chi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right| \\
 & \leq \int dx_2 \dots dx_n \int dy |\chi_n(y, x_2, \dots, x_n)| |\chi(y)| |\chi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \\
 & \stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} \left(\int dx_2 \dots dx_n |\chi_n(y, x_2, \dots, x_n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int dy \int dx_2 \dots dx_n |\chi(y)|^2 |\chi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & = \|\chi_n\| \|\chi\| \underbrace{\left(\int dx_2 \dots dx_n |\chi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 & \Rightarrow \|\chi_{\psi_n} \chi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\chi\| \quad (\|\chi_n\| = 1), \text{ so } \|\chi_{\psi_n}\|_{L^2} \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\chi_{\psi_n}^* = \chi_{\psi_n}$ clear from def.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii)} \quad & \langle \chi, \chi_{\psi_n} \chi \rangle = \int dy \overline{\psi_n(y, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \chi(y) \int dx \overline{\chi(x)} \psi_n(x, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \langle \psi_n | p_1^\chi \psi_n \rangle \\
 & = \|p_1^\chi \psi_n\|^2 \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Goal: prove that $\chi_{\psi_n(t)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{\pi_\varphi(t)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Note: } \chi_{\pi_\varphi}(x, y) &= \int dx_2 \dots dx_n \overline{\varphi(y) \varphi(x_2) \dots \varphi(x_n)} \varphi(x) \varphi(x_2) \dots \varphi(x_n) \\
 &= \overline{\varphi(y)} \varphi(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

But technically it is easier to control a different quantity. We will def.

$\alpha(\psi, \varphi)$ s.t. $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ implies $\chi_\psi \rightarrow \chi_{\pi_\varphi}$. The main work will then be to prove that indeed $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

We proceed in several steps:

Step 1: Type of convergence

Definition 4.1:

For $\varphi \in L^2$, $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} = 1$, we define the operator $p^\varphi: L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $x \mapsto \langle \varphi, x \rangle \varphi$, and

$q^\varphi := \mathbb{1} - p^\varphi$. For any $j = 1, \dots, N$, we define $p_j^\varphi: L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ by

$$(p_j^\varphi \psi_n)(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \varphi(x_j) \int \overline{\varphi(y)} \psi_n(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, y, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N) dy, \text{ and } q_j^\varphi := \mathbb{1} - p_j^\varphi.$$

(identity on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$)

The following properties hold:

Lemma 4.2:

For any $\varphi \in L^2$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ we have:

(i) $p_j^\varphi q_j^\varphi \in S(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}))$ with $\|p_j^\varphi q_j^\varphi\|_S = 1 = \|q_j^\varphi p_j^\varphi\|_S$,

(ii) $p_j^\varphi q_j^\varphi$ are orthogonal projectors ($P: H \rightarrow H$ is an orthogonal projector if $P^2 = P = P^*$),

(iii) $p_j^\varphi q_j^\varphi = 0$, $[r_j^\varphi, s_k^\varphi] = 0$ for all j, k and $r, s \in \{p, q\}$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad & \langle X, p_j^\varphi \psi \rangle = \int dx_1 \dots dx_N \overline{\chi(x_1, \dots, x_N)} \varphi(x_j) \int dy \overline{\varphi(y)} \psi(x_1, \dots, y, \dots, x_N) \\ &= \int dx_1 \dots dx_N dy \overline{\varphi(y)} \overline{\varphi(x_j)} \chi(x_1, \dots, x_N) \psi(x_1, \dots, y, \dots, x_N) \\ &= \int dx_1 \dots dy \dots dx_N \overline{\varphi(y)} \int dx_j \overline{\varphi(x_j)} \chi(x_1, \dots, x_N) \psi(x_1, \dots, y, \dots, x_N) \\ &= \langle p_j^\varphi \chi, \psi \rangle, \text{ so } p_j^\varphi = (p_j^\varphi)^* \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and } (p_j^q p_j^q \psi)(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (\varrho(x_j) \int dy \overline{\varrho(y)} (p_j^q \psi)(x_1, \dots, y, \dots, x_n)) \\
&= (\varrho(x_j) \underbrace{\int dy \overline{\varrho(y)} \varrho(y)}_{=\|\varrho\|_{L^2}^2 = 1} \int dz \overline{\varrho(z)} \psi(x_1, \dots, z, \dots, x_n)) \\
&= (p_j^q \psi)(x_1, \dots, x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $q_j^{q*} = 1 - p_j^{q*} = 1 - p_j^q = q_j^q$ and $q_j^{q^2} = (1 - p_j^q)(1 - p_j^q) = 1 - 2p_j^q + p_j^{q^2} = 1 - p_j^q = q_j^q$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad \|p_j^q \psi\|_{L^2}^2 &= \langle p_j^q \psi, p_j^q \psi \rangle = \langle \psi, p_j^{q^2} \psi \rangle = \langle \psi, p_j^q \psi \rangle \leq \|\psi\| \|p_j^q \psi\| \\
\Rightarrow \|p_j^q \psi\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\psi\|, \text{ i.e., } \|p_j^q\|_{L^2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also: } p_j^q \prod_{i=1}^N \varrho(x_i) = (\varrho(x_j) \int dy \overline{\varrho(y)} \varrho(x_1) \dots \varrho(y) \dots \varrho(x_n)) \prod_{i=1}^N \varrho(x_i),$$

$$\text{So } \|p_j^q\|_{L^2} := \sup_{\varrho, \|\psi\|=1} \|p_j^q \psi\| \geq \|p_j^q \prod_{i=1}^N \varrho(x_i)\| = \|\prod_{i=1}^N \varrho(x_i)\| = 1.$$

Same argument holds for q_{ij}^q , with ϱ replaced by any $\varrho^\perp \in \{\varrho\}^\perp$.

$$(iii) \quad p_j^q q_j^q = p_j^q (1 - p_j^q) = p_j^q - p_j^{q^2} = p_j^q - p_j^q = 0, \text{ and } r_j s_k = s_k r_j \text{ clear by def.} \quad \square$$

Note: • $p_j^q \psi_n$ tells us "how much" of the j -th particle is in the state ϱ

$$• p_j^q \prod_{i=1}^N \varrho(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^N \varrho(x_i), \text{ and } q_j^q \prod_{i=1}^N \varrho(x_i) = 0$$