

Last time: We started from the LP problem
 • maximize $\bar{z} = c^T x$
 • constraints $Ax \leq b, x \geq 0$.

Knowing about the optimal solution, we found that the obj. fct. can be written as

$$\bar{z} = b^T \gamma, \text{ where in our example } \gamma = \frac{1}{15} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now: Change capacities b by a small amount δ (small meaning the binding constraints remain the same).

Then $b \rightarrow b + \delta$ and we find $x = \tilde{A}^{-1}(\tilde{b} + \tilde{\delta})$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{new profit } \bar{z}(\delta) = \gamma^T (b + \delta) = \underbrace{\gamma^T b}_{=z(0)} + \underbrace{\gamma^T \delta}_{=\text{extra profit/loss from changed capacities}} = z(0) + \text{current profit}$$

The $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ are called **shadow prices**. These are the changes of profit per unit of capacity at current operating conditions.

In our example, we found $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{15} \\ 0 \\ \frac{10}{15} \\ \frac{1}{15} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. So for example, increasing the capacity b_1 of constraint (1) by one unit will increase the profit by $\frac{1}{15}$.

If we could choose to increase the working hours for either constraint, we should choose constraint (3) because this increases the profit the most.

Increasing or decreasing b_2 or b_4 by a small amount will not change the profit since $\gamma_2 = 0 = \gamma_4$.

Next: How to compute shadow prices directly (via solving the "dual" LP problem).

Recall the example: maximize profit $\bar{z} = \textcircled{3}x_1 + \textcircled{2}x_2 = c^T x$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{with constraints} \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{5}x_1 \leq 100 \\ \textcircled{10}x_2 \leq 100 \\ \textcircled{4}x_1 + \textcircled{3}x_2 \leq 100 \\ \textcircled{3}x_1 + \textcircled{5}x_2 \leq 100 \end{array} \right\} Ax \leq b \\ x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Now: Consider the following scenario: A company wants to buy our production capacity.

What are fair prices y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 for the resources (1), (2), (3), (4)?

In our example: profit per car: $\textcircled{3}$

- profit per truck: $\textcircled{2}$

- current car assembly hours: $\textcircled{5}$ for constraint (1), $\textcircled{4}$ for constraint (3), $\textcircled{3}$ for constraint (4)

- trucks: $\textcircled{10}$ for (2), $\textcircled{3}$ for (3), $\textcircled{5}$ for (4)

Thus we want:

- $\textcircled{5}y_1 + \textcircled{4}y_3 + \textcircled{3}y_4 \geq \textcircled{3}$ } selling capacity to produce one car/truck needs to
- $\underbrace{\textcircled{10}y_2 + \textcircled{3}y_3 + \textcircled{5}y_4}_{= A^T y} \geq \textcircled{2}$ } be at least as profitable as producing a car/truck

(Recall: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \\ 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A^T = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 10 & 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ is the transpose of A.)

The price for all capacity is $y_1 \cdot 100 + \dots + y_4 \cdot 100 = b^T y$. Minimizing this yields the minimum price = fair price for the production capacity.

This leads to the "dual problem":

- minimize $b^T \gamma$,
- subject to $A^T \gamma \geq c$ and $\gamma \geq 0$.

as compared to the original "primal problem":

- maximize $c^T x$,
- subject to $Ax \leq b$ and $x \geq 0$.

Solving the dual problem gives us the shadow prices.

Two results about the relation between dual and primal LP:

$$\bullet \text{ Note that } c^T x = x^T c \leq x^T A^T \gamma = (Ax)^T \gamma \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ c \leq Ax}}{\leq} b^T \gamma \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ (AB)^T = B^T A^T}}{\leq} b^T \gamma \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ Ax \leq b}}{\leq}$$

This is known as **weak duality**:

If x is a solution to the primal problem (i.e., x is feasible, but not necessarily optimal), and γ is a solution to the dual problem, then $c^T x \leq b^T \gamma$.

• A bit harder to prove (but intuitively clear) is **strong duality**:

The dual has an optimal solution if and only if the primal does. In this case

$$c^T x = b^T \gamma.$$