

Another example for using Dynamic Programming: "Distribution of Effort" Problem

# of consulting teams	1	2	3
0	0	0	0
1	45	20	50
2	70	45	70
3	90	75	80
4	105	110	100
5	120	150	130
	$b_x^{(1)}$	$b_x^{(2)}$	$b_x^{(3)}$

← Amount of money saved (in 1000\$)

(note: not proportional to # of teams!)

Goal: distribute 5 consulting teams to 3 units s.t. max. amount of money saved.

(E.g., 3 teams to unit 1 saves 90, 1 team to unit 2 saves 20, 1 team to unit 3 saves 50
⇒ total saving of 160)

Note: This problem type is called "distribution of effort problem".

Dynamic Programming formulation:

- stages = units , x_i = # of teams sent to unit i , s = # of teams still available
 - here: $f(s, x_i) = \underbrace{b_{x_i}^{(i)}}_{\text{see table above}} + f_{i+1}^*(s - x_i)$
- $\underbrace{\qquad}_{\text{\# of teams available in next step}} + f_{i+1}^*(s - x_i)$
(the new s in the next step)

Solution:

$i=3$:

Unit 3
(could have started with any unit here)

s	f_3^*	x_3^*
0	0	0
1	50	1
2	70	2
3	80	3
4	100	4
5	130	5

i = 2:

S	$x_2=0$	$x_2=1$	$x_2=2$	$x_2=3$	$x_2=4$	$x_2=5$	$f_2^*(s)$	x_2^*
0	0+0	—	—	—	—	—	0	0
1	0+50	20+0	—	—	—	—	50	0
2	0+70	20+50	45+0	—	—	—	70	0 or 1
3	0+80	20+70	45+50	75+0	—	—	95	2
4	0+100	20+80	65+70	75+50	110+0	—	125	3
5	0+130	20+100	45+80	75+70	110+50	150+0	160	4

i = 1:

S	$x_1=0$	$x_1=1$	$x_1=2$	$x_1=3$	$x_1=4$	$x_1=5$	$f_1^*(s)$	x_1^*
5	0+160 =160	45+125 =170	70+95 =165	90+70 =160	105+50 =155	120+0 =120	170	1

=> Solution: send 1 team to unit 1 (4 remaining), 3 to unit 2 (1 remaining), and 1 to unit 3, to save the maximal 170 (thousand \$).

Another example involving continuous optimization: Local job shop problem
(Hillier, Lieberman: Chapter 11.3)

Setup: different seasons with minimal worker requirements:

Season	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring	...
Requirement	255	220	240	200	255	...

Too much employment costs 2000\$ per person per season.

Changing employment from one season to the next costs $200 \cdot (\text{difference in employment})^2$.

We assume fractional employment is possible (part-time work). (I.e., optimal solution need not be integer.)

Goal: Find hiring schedule that minimizes costs.

- We introduce:
- Stage 4 = spring, Stage 3 = winter, Stage 2 = autumn, Stage 1 = summer
 - x_n = employment level for stage n . Note: $x_4 = 255$.
 - r_n = minimum requirements from table above.

\Rightarrow Feasible values for x_n : $r_n \leq x_n \leq 255$

Note: The states $s_n = x_{n-1}$ can now take a continuum of values.

Similar to before, we set

$$f_n(s_n, x_n) = \underbrace{200(x_n - s_n)^2}_{\text{employment change cost}} + \underbrace{2000(x_n - r_n)}_{\text{extra employment cost}} + \underbrace{f_{n+1}^*(x_n)}_{\text{optimal costs for later stages}}$$

} cost given employment s_n at stage $n-1$, and x_n at stage n , and optimal future cost

$$f_n^*(s_n) = \min_{r_n \leq x_n \leq 255} f_n(s_n, x_n)$$

} optimal cost given employment s_n at stage $n-1$, and optimal in the future

Solution:

Stage $n=4$:	s_4	$f_4^*(s_4)$	x_4^*
	$200 \leq s_4 \leq 255$	$200(255 - s_4)^2$	255

We continue next time...